TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1926

No. 301

EDWARD C. TWIST, PETITIONER,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED FEBRUARY 11, 1926

CERTIORARI GRANTED MARCH 15, 1926

(21,709)

(31,708)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1926

No. 301

EDWARD C. TWIST, PETITIONER,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

INDEX

	Original	Print
Proceedings in the United States circuit court of appeals, eighth circuit	a	1
Caption (omitted in printing)	a	
Record from the district court of the United States, eastern district of Oklahoma	1	1
Caption	1	1
Petition	1	2
Statements re Exhibits A, B, C, and D	6	7
Exhibit E—Agreement extending term of lease, June 16, 1916	7	8
Statement re Exhibit F		9
Answer		10
Reply	11	13
Decree	12	14
Statement re statement of the evidence	13	15
Petition for appeal	13	15
Assignment of errors	13	16

JUDD & DETWEILER (INC.), PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 12, 1926

	Original	Print
Order allowing appeal	16	19
Bond on appeal (omitted in printing)	16	
Stipulation as to printing record	17	19
Order approving stipulation	19	21
Additional stipulation as to printing record	19	21
Certificate of clerk (omitted in printing)	20	
Appearances of counsel	21	23
Memorandum re submission of opinion	21	23
Decree	22	24
Minute entry of order denying petition for rehearing	22	24
Minute entry of order staying mandate	23	25
Clerk's certificate	23	25
Order allowing certiorari	24	26

[fol. a] [Caption omitted]

[fol. 1]

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Pleas and proceedings before the Honorable R. L. Williams, judge of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, presiding, in the following entitled cause:

Equity. No. 2930

Edward C. Twist, Plaintiff,

vs.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Corporation, Defendant.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,

vs.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Corporation, Appellee

Caption

Be it remembered, that this suit was begun in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and came to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, upon Petition, Bond, and Order of Removal; the Transcript being filed in the office of said Clerk on the 15th day of September, 1922, and was given No. 2930 Equity.

The portion of the transcript from the District Court in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, called for in the stipulation of counsel, is in words and figures as follows:

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the District Court

No. 19966

Edward C. Twist, a Minor, by Leoria L. Twist Welby, Guardian, Plaintiff,

vs.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Corporation, Defendant

Attorney's Lien Claimed

Petition—Filed in District Court of Tulsa County August 3, 1922; in District Court of United States, September 15, 1922

Comes now the plaintiff, and shows to the Court that Edward C. Twist is a minor under guardianship, residing in the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, and that Leoria [fol. 2] L. Twist Welby is his duly qualified, appointed and acting guardian, and that the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company is a corporation doing business in the State of Oklahoma, which may be legally served therein.

I. For cause of action herein, plaintiff alleges that heretofore, to wit, on the 22nd day of March, 1915, William G. Twist, then the guardian of Edward C. Twist, a minor, executed to Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, an oil and gas mining lease covering the following described land, to-wit:

The North Half (N. 2) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) and the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, in Tulsa County, Oklahoma,

which said lease by its terms expired on the 21st day of March, 1920, and which said lease was duly and legally approved and confirmed, and was up to and including the last mentioned date in full force and effect; that the said lands were and are the property of the plaintiff, Edward C. Twist,

being a portion of his allotment as a member of the Cherokee Tribe of Indians.

2. That thereafter, and on, to wit, the 5th day of June, 1916, the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company lessee, caused to be instituted in the County Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, a certain proceeding whereby they sought to extend the terms of the original lease theretofore executed, for a period as long as oil and gas is found in paying quantities. Said proceeding was instituted by a petition filed by the guardian, setting out that the said lessee desired an extension, and that they had agreed upon a sum of money to be paid and an increase of the royalty, a copy of which petition is attached hereto, made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit A."

Pursuant to said petition, an order of the County Court was entered, setting for hearing the said petition, of which order copy is hereto attached and made a part of this petition, marked "Exhibit B," which said order fixed the 16th day of June as the date for hearing the petition of the guardian for authority to extend the said lease. On the 16th day of June, 1916, the said guardian filed her petition and report, showing the full agreement with the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, a copy of which report and return is hereto attached, made a part of this petition and marked "Exhibit C." On the same day, to wit, June 16, 1916, the court entered an order confirming and approving the agreement theretofore made and executed between the guardian [fol. 3] and the said lessee, a copy of which order is hereto attached and made a part of this petition, marked "Exhibit D."

3. Plaintiff attaches hereto and makes a part hereof "Exhibit E," a copy of said stipulation and agreement so as aforesaid entered into between the said guardian and the said lessee.

4. Plaintiff further alleges that the said proceedings for the extension of said lease are wholly void and unauthorized; that no opportunity was given for bids by others than the said lessee; that the property was not sold at public auction; that the property was sold as shown by the said petition, return and order, in bulk, together with other

property belonging to other minors, and together with an undivided interest in additional property belonging to this plaintiff, and that the proceedings therein had in no sense protected the minor ward and was contrary to the laws of the State of Oklahoma, for such proceedings and was in contravention of the rules of the Supreme Court relating to such procedure and particularly to Rule 9, promulgated on June 11, 1914, and effective at the date said proceedings attempting to extend said lease were had, which Rule was in words and figures as follows:

"No oil and gas or other mineral leases belonging to minors or incompetents will be approved except after sale in open court to the highest and best responsible bidder. All petitions for the approval of oil and gas leases shall be filed five days before the same are sold, as provided herein. A notice of said sale must be given by posters and by publication where publication is practicable."

By virtue of said so-called extension therefore, the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company received no further or additional title than was already received by them by the lease originally executed, which expired as aforesaid; that the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, by proper assignment thereafter attempted to convey all their right, title and interest to the Prairie Oil and Gas Company, and by said assignment the defendant, Prairie Oil and Gas Company obtained the leasehold estate up to and including the final termination of the original lease on March 21, 1920, and no more.

That notwithstanding the expiration of said lease, the defendant Prairie Oil and Gas Company has ever since March 21, 1920, continued to withdraw from said property and appropriate to its own use and benefit the oil and gas from certain wells theretofore drilled on said property, the [fol. 4] value of the oil and gas so taken therefrom wrongfully by the defendant being Fifty Thousand Dollars ($50,000.00).

5. That said extension agreement so executed by the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, was void for the further reason that it was procured by the fraud, duress and false dealing of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company so exerted that the said agreement was procured for a grossly

inadequate consideration, the acual value of the said agreement at the date thereof being not less than $175,000.00, while the consideration actually paid was only $18,000.00, the lands herein described as the allotment of the said Edward C. Twist and covered by this action being included in said extension agreement. That said false and fraudulent dealing and duress consisted of the following acts and representations, to wit: That the officer of the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company having full and complete control of the operations of the company, and of all its business was one J. F. Pitt, and another of the said officers and agents of the said company, was one H. P. Anderson; and that said officers and agents of the said company, expecting to secure the said agreement, and with the view of obtaining influence and the friendship and confidence of the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, the said guardian, proposed, agreed to and did execute her bond as guardian, and are now the bondsmen upon the guardian's bond of the said guardian. That prior to the date of the said agreement, they, and particularly the said Pitt, advised the said guardian that it was beneficial and to the best interest of the said wards that such an extension agreement should be executed, and that a consideration of $18,000.00 was adequate and sufficient therefor, which said statements and representations were false and known to the said Pitt to be false, but which said representations were relied upon by the said guardian, who placed implicit confidence in the judgment of the said bondsmen, knowing them to be practical and experienced oil men. That to further the said scheme to secure the said agreement, the said officers and agents approached the attorney for the said guardian, E. Robitaille, and endeavored to secure his co-operation, and also sought the influence of the ward, Albert T. Twist, and failing to secure their aid in their efforts they resorted to threats, and advised the guardian that they would be compelled to complain to the court of her failure to do what they represented to be for the best interests of the wards, and sugested to the said son of the guardian, Albert T. Twist, that his mother could be removed as guardian if she failed to carry out their desires; and the guardian, believing that this course was capable of being pursued by them, and fearing that the affairs of her children might be placed in the hands of strangers,

[fol. 5] consented to the proposed agreement, and signed the papers prepared for her by the said officers of the said company. That to further lull the suspicions of the guardian, and to present the apearance of fair dealing, the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, through its said agents, officers and attorneys, prepared what purported to be a notice of sale, so that it appears that notice of a public sale was given, but plaintiff avers that said notice is in fact a notice to show cause why a sale should not be had, and that no order of sale was in fact ever made and entered by the court, and no public sale had, but that the agreement was made pursuant to an understanding had prior thereto, and without opportunity to others than the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company to bid thereon. All of which more fully and clearly appears from the petition of the guardian filed in the County Court, a copy of which appears as a part of this petition, hereto attached and marked "Exhibit A"; the order setting said petition for hearing, of which a copy appears as a part of this petition, hereto attached and marked "Exhibit B"; the so-called notice of sale, together with proof of posting thereof, a copy of which is hereto attached, made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit F"; the guardian's return and report of sale and extension, a copy of which is hereto attached made a part of this petition and marked "Exhibit C"; and the order of confirmation, a copy of which is made a part of this petition, and is hereto attached, as "Exhibit D"; all of said documents being a part of the records in the County Court of Tulsa County, in Probate No. 168, styled "In the Matter of the Guardianship of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors."

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment against the said defendant as damages for their trespass as aforesaid in the sum of Fifty Thousand ($50,000.00) Dollars, together with all costs herein laid out and expended.

Second Cause of Action

Plaintiff further complaining of the defendant, for second cause of action herein adopts and reiterates each and every allegation contained in the above and foregoing first cause of action, and for further cause of action alleges:

1. That the stipulation so as aforesaid executed by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of the plaintiff to the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, purporting to extend the lease herein mentioned so long as oil and gas is found in paying quantities, is now and has been ever since the 21st day of March, 1920, a cloud upon the title of your plaintiff, which he is powerless to remove without the intervention of a court of equity.

[fol. 6] Wherefore, plaintiff prays that said stipulation so as aforesaid executed without authority of law, be cancelled, set aside and held for naught, and that the title of the plaintiff herein be quieted against the defendant, and that the defendant be enjoined from ever asserting any right, title, claim or interest in the said land or any part thereof, or from further trespassing thereon; and plaintiff prays for such other and further relief as in the premises he may be entitled to, and for his costs.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Attorney's lien claimed.

[File endorsement omitted.]

STATEMENT RE EXHIBIT A TO PETITION

[CLERK'S NOTE.—This exhibit, being petition for leave to extend leases, is the same as Exhibit B in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, and is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

STATEMENT RE EXHIBIT B TO PETITION

[CLERK'S NOTE.—This exhibit, being order setting date for hearing petition for leave to extend leases, is the same as Exhibit C in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, and is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

STATEMENT RE EXHIBIT C TO PETITION

[CLERK'S NOTE.—This exhibit, being report and return of guardian and administratrix, is the same as Exhibit D in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, and is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

STATEMENT RE EXHIBIT D TO PETITION

[CLERK'S NOTE.—This exhibit, being order allowing guardian to enter an agreement to extend leases, is the same as Exhibit E in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, and is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

[fol. 7] EXHIBIT E TO PETITION

Agreement Extending Term of Lease

This agreement, made this 16th day of June, 1916, by and between Edward C. Twist, a minor, by his guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as party of the first part, and the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, of Los Angeles, California, and transacting business at Tulsa, Oklahoma, of the second part, Witnesseth:

That whereas, heretofore, on the 22nd day of March, 1905, William G. Twist as guardian then of Edward C. Twist, a minor, made, executed and delivered to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, of Los Angeles, California an oil and gas mining lease covering the following described lands to wit:

The North Half (N. 2) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4), and the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of Section Twenty-four (24), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East of the Indian Meridian containing thirty (30) acres, more or less, situated in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, for a term of fifteen (15) years from date hereof.

And whereas, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of said minor, and has secured the authority of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, the court having jurisdiction of the guardianship proceedings to enter into this agreement.

Now therefore, in consideration of the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-three and 95/100 Dollars, ($2,563.95) in hand paid the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, and an increase in the amount of royalty accruing to the fee owner under the terms of said lease from ten (10%) per cent to twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent, and the drilling of a well on said tract within six months from this date, it is agreed that the term of said lease shall be and hereby is extended for a period so long as oil or gas shall be produced from said lands, and the provision in said lease providing for a royalty of ten (10%) per cent of the value of the leased premises of all crude oil extracted from said land be, and the same is of this date increased to the amount of twelve and one half (12½) per cent of the value on the leased premises of all crude oil extracted from said land.

In witness whereof the parties hereto have set their hands this 16th day of June, 1916.

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of Edward C. Twist, a Minor, Party of the First Part. Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, by Dan Murphy, President, Party of the Second Part.

[fol. 8] Attest: G. H. Murphy, Secretary. (Corp. Seal.)

STATEMENT RE EXHIBIT F TO PETITION

[CLERK'S NOTE—This exhibit, being a notice of sale and proof of publication, is the same as Exhibit L in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, and is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Answer—Filed September 27, 1922

Now comes the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and for its answer to the petition of the plaintiff, alleges and says:

That the allegations in said petition do not state facts sufficient in law or in equity to entitle the plaintiff to the relief prayed for, or to any relief.

The defendant admits the execution of the lease to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, set out in the plaintiff's petition, and admits the assignment of said lease, after the modification and extension thereof, as alleged in said petition, to this defendant, which assignment, it alleges, was made and executed on March 5, 1917, but denies that said lease is not a present, valid and subsisting lease for a term so long as oil or gas is found upon the land covered thereby.

It admits that proceedings were had in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as set forth in the plaintiff's petition, but as to whether the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company caused said proceedings to be instituted, this defendant has no knowledge, and therefore denies the same, and also denies that the said proceedings for a modification and extension of said lease, and the said orders of the court and the acts of the guardian of the plaintiff thereunder, conferred no rights to the oil and gas under the lands covered by said lease beyond the original term of said lease.

[fol. 9] The defendant denies that the modification of said lease was procured by fraud of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, but as to whether the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company made the statements, suggestions and misrepresentations alleged and set forth in said petition, and as to whether the agreement between the guardian of the plaintiff and said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, directed and authorized by the County Court of Tulsa County, was the result of a prior understanding between said guardian and said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Com

pany, this defendant is without knowledge, and in this connection avers that if said statements, suggestions and representations were made, the same is insufficient to constitute fraud.

The defendant admits that on the 5th day of June, 1916, the guardian of the plaintiff filed her petition in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, praying for authority to modify, and extend the term of, said lease, and it alleges and shows to the Court that the said petition was set for hearing by the said County Court, and petitioner therein was required by said County Court to give notice of her said application by posting the same in three (3) public places in said Tulsa County; that on the 5th day of June, 1916, there was posted in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in three (3) public places therein, one being on the door of the courthouse in the City of Tulsa, in said County, a notice that in pursuance of an order of said County Court, the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of the plaintiff, and as administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, would sell to the highest bidder, subject to confirmation by the Court, on Friday, June 16, 1916, in the County Court room in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, an extension of an oil and gas lease on said land for a bonus in cash, payable upon confirmation of the sale; a copy of which notice with proof of posting is hereto attached, marked "Exhibit A" and made a part of this answer.

This defendant further alleges that said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company offered to pay for an extension of said lease, the sum of Twenty five Hundred Sixty-three and 95/100 Dollars ($2,563.95), and also agreed to increase the royalty prescribed in said lease from ten (10) per cent to twelve and a half (12½) per cent, and to drill a well upon the land within six (6) months from the date of acceptance of said offer and its confirmation by said County Court.

That on the 16th day of June, 1916, the said County Court approved said offer and did thereupon authorize and direct the plaintiff's guardian to enter into a stipulation or agreement, extending the term of said lease for a period [fol. 10] continuing so long as oil or gas should be found in said land, with which order and direction, the guardian duly complied, and the stipulation and agreement so executed

was approved and confirmed by the Court by its order as set forth in plaintiff's petition and the exhibits thereto.

Further answering, this defendant says: That under its assignment of said lease from the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, it has taken possession of the lands covered thereby, and admits that it has been operating the same and removing the oil and gas therefrom, but denies that it has taken oil and gas of the value set forth in the plaintiff's petition, and in this connection alleges and says that whether or not the modification and extension of said lease, is or was valid and binding upon the plaintiff, this defendant, since it became the owner of said modified and extended lease under its said assignment, has developed and operated the lands at its own expense, in good faith, and in the belief that it had a legal right so to do, and that if the Court should adjudicate and determine that said extension of the term of said lease was ineffective, the plaintiff is entitled only to the value of the oil and gas in place, and not its value after the same has been extracted from the land.

This defendant, further answering, says: That at the time of its purchase of the assignment of said lease from the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, to wit, on March 5, 1917, it paid to the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company more than One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00); that said consideration was bona fide and actually paid; that at the time of paying the consideration for such assignment and transfer, and at the time of the delivery thereof, this defendant had no notice or knowledge of the various transactions and representations alleged and set forth in plaintiff's petition between its assignor and the plaintiff's guardian, and had no notice or knowledge of any proceedings or transactions relative to the modification and extension of said lease other than as shown by the records of the proceedings in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, but avers that its purchase of said lease, and the payment of the consideration therefor, was in reliance upon the apparent legal title of the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, as shown by the public records.

Wherefore, the defendant prays:

1. That after due and proper hearing, the plaintiff's petition be dismissed for want of equity.

2. That the Court by its decree, declare and determine that the defendant's title to said oil and gas lease, as modi-
[fol. 11] fied and extended, is good, valid and subsisting as against any claim of the plaintiff, and that its title thereto be quieted as against said claims.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Defendant.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Reply.—Filed November 4, 1922

For reply to the answer of the defendant herein filed, plaintiff denies that the assignment of the Los Angeles-Cherokee Company to the defendant was executed on March 5, 1917, and denies that said assignment was executed in good faith on behalf of The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and denies that it was executed without notice on their part of the equities of this plaintiff; and avers the facts to be that, prior to the execution of said modification, the defendant had agreed with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company upon the purchase price of the said property, and that the modification and extension of said lease was sought and secured by the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company for and on behalf of The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, the defendant herein, at the time the same was secured.

Plaintiff admits the posting of the purported notice, as set out in said Answer, and that the petition and the said purported notice and the order of the court authorizing the same and confirming the same, constituted the sole proceedings in this matter for the transfer of the title.

And plaintiff further avers that no petition was filed sufficient to give to the County Court of Tulsa County jurisdiction in said action, for the reason that, at the date of the petition so filed, to wit: June 5, 1916, the lands involved herein were producing oil and gas; that the purpose and effect of said petition and the orders made pursuant thereto, were to sell and convey to the said Los Angeles Cherokee

Oil Company a known and described portion of the corpus of the real estate of the said minor ward; that no effort was made in any particular to follow the statutes of the State of Oklahoma prescribing the method of procedure necessary to sell the lands and real estate of a minor ward, and that the court was without jurisdiction to enter such orders.

[fol. 12] Wherefore plaintiff prays judgment of this court.

Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Decree—Filed April 27, 1923

Be it remembered, that this cause came on to be heard at a former term of the Court and was argued by Counsel and taken under advisement.

Now on this 27th day of April, 1923, this cause coming on to be further heard by the court and it appearing that since the former hearing the said Edward C. Twist has become of lawful age, he is hereby substituted as plaintiff.

And the Court being now fully advised in the premises, finds all the issues, and concludes in favor of the defendant.

It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows, viz:

That the Plaintiff's petition be, and it is hereby, dismissed for want of equity, at his costs.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant is the owner of, and has a good and valid title to, an oil and gas mining lease on the

North Half (N. 2) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) and the Southeast Quar er (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of Section Twenty four (24), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East, in Tulsa County, Oklahoma,

for a period extending so long as oil or gas is produced from said land, the defendant's title to which is hereby quieted and confirmed as against the claims of the plaintiff.

To all of which the plaintiff excepts, which exceptions are allowed, and in open court gives notice of appeal.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

O K as to form. Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 13] In United States District Court

Statement re Statement of the Evidence

[Clerk's Note.—The evidence in this case being the same as in the case of Edward C. Twist et al. v. Prairie Oil & Gas Co., No. 2929, is omitted as per stipulation of counsel.]

In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Petition for Appeal—Filed June 23, 1923

To the Honorable R. L. Williams, District Judge for the Eastern District of the State of Oklahoma:

The above named plaintiff, feeling himself aggrieved by the decree made and entered in the above styled cause, on the 27th day of April, 1923, does hereby appeal from said decree to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors, which is filed herewith. The plaintiff prays that said appeal be allowed and that citation issue, as provided by law, and that a transcript of record, proceedings and papers upon which said decree was based, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, sitting at St. Louis, in the State of Missouri.

And your petitioner further prays that proper order, touching the security to be required of him to perfect his appeal, be made.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Assignment of Errors—Filed June 23, 1923

And now on this 23d day of June, 1923, comes the plaintiff, by his attorneys, James S. Watson and Linebaugh & Pinson, and says that the decree entered in the above cause on the 27th day of April, 1923, is erroneous and unjust to the said plaintiff:

1. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, had jurisdiction on the 16th day of June, 1916, to enter an order directing Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guard[fol. 14] ian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, extending the terms of certain oil and gas mining leases covering the estates of said minor wards.

2. Because the court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an extension of the terms of the oil and gas mining lease upon the lands of the said named wards was valid, though not made nor executed in compliance with the rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma and the Statutes of the State of Oklahoma, relative to the sales of personal and real property of minor wards.

3. Because the court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 16th day of June, 1916, had jurisdiction to direct the sale or grant of oil and gas leases, as administrator and as guardian, acting conjointly, so that the same should cover, in addition to the lands of the above named Edward C. Twist, plaintiff, then a minor, the lands of William G. Twist,

the father of said plaintiff, in whose land the said plaintiff inherited an undivided interest.

4. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that certain oil and gas mining leases, or extension agreements, made and executed prior to the termination of oil and gas leases then existing on the lands of said minor wards, and over lapping with said leases, are valid and of full force and effect.

5. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to hold that certain minor Indian wards, restricted under the laws of Congress, were not subject to the protection afforded by certain probate rules enacted by the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, at the special instance and request of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs of the United States, as a part of the program of the United States for the protection of said minor Indian wards.

6. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that said rules were directory merely, and were not jurisdictional, in the face of the determination by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, that said rules are and have always been jurisdictional.

7. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an oil and gas mining lease, made for a grossly inadequate consideration, without compliance with the Statutes and probate rules of the State of Oklahoma, was nevertheless valid and enforceable.

8. The Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the action herein constitutes a collateral attack upon [fol. 15] the so-called decree of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

9. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, ever in fact made any order under and by virtue of which the guardian of the above named minor wards was authorized to execute the said extension.

10. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that certain statements made by representatives of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of the minor wards above named,

to the effect that if the leases were not extended they would place vacuums on the wells, the effect of which would be to destroy the wells; and the further statement that the sum of $18,000.00 was more than the extension leases were worth, and the further insinuations and statements that other people were seeking the guardianship of her minors, which caused a fear in the mind of the guardian that the guardianship of her own children might be taken from her, or the wells destroyed, if she did not make the extension leases, and each and all of said suggestions, taken together, did not constitute such fraud or duress upon the guardian as, coupled with the inadequacy of consideration, was sufficient to nullify the lease or extension agreement.

11. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an extension oil and gas lease, made without an order of sale and without competitive bidding, conveyed title to the oil and gas, together with the equipment, real and personal property, of the said minor wards.

12. The Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the guardian of the minor wards, joined by the administrator of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, had the power, or that the County Court of Tulsa County had the authority, to consummate a sale of the various interests in William G. Twist's estate, and in the lands of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, jointly, for the lump sum of $18,000.00, without any appraisement of the various properties, without any opportunity for an open market, resulting in the arbitrary division of the amount so received, without regard to the value of the respective properties.

13. Because the Court erred in refusing to permit the witness, Albert T. Twist, to testify as to the statements of Mr. Pitt, made to him a short time before the petition for extension of lease was filed, and which he communicated to his mother.

[fol. 16] 14. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to permit the witness Phillips to testify as to the market value of the leases so sold in the year 1916.

15. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to set aside and vacate the leases, or extension agreements,

executed by the said guardian and administrator, together with the purported orders of court relating thereto, for the reason that the leases were wholly void and the orders of the court so made were without jurisdiction.

Wherefore the plaintiff prays that the said decree be reversed and that this court render a proper decree on the record, cancelling said lease, and granting judgment to the plaintiff for the sum of money which the record shows to be due him since the expiration of the original lease on the land involved, and for such other relief as to the Court may seem proper and just.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Order Allowing Appeal—Filed June 23, 1923

On this 23rd day of June, 1923, came on to be heard the petition of the plaintiff above named for an order allowing appeal, and thereupon, it was by the Court ordered that said petition be granted and the appeal allowed upon the plaintiff giving bond, conditioned as required by law, in the sum of two hundred fifty dollars.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

[File endorsement omitted.]

Bond on appeal for $250.00, approved and filed August 13, 1923, omitted in printing.

[fol. 17] In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Stipulation as to Printing Record

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned, attorneys for plaintiffs as appellants, and the defendant, as appellee and cross appellant in case #2929,

that the record on appeal shall be complete and full when certified by the Clerk to contain, according to the terms of [fol. 18] this stipulation, the following portions of the record of the proceedings in this case, and none other, to-wit:

1. The petition of the plaintiff.

2. The answer and cross petition of the defendant.

3. The reply and answer of the plaintiff.

4. The full and complete evidence as taken and transcribed, and filed in this cause by the plaintiff, instead of a reduced statement thereof.

5. Judgment or decree of the Court.

6. The petition of plaintiff for appeal, assignments of error and order allowing appeal.

7. Appeal bond.

8. Petition of defendant for cross appeal in case #2929, assignment of errors, order allowing appeal and supersedeas bond.

9. This stipulation.

It is further stipulated and agreed that the [illegible]ment of the transcript, suggestions of amendments, citation and filing of praecipe for printing the record are waived, and that the Clerk may proceed to print the record and certify the same in accordance with this stipulation and transmit the same to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals.

It is further stipulated and agreed that by reason of the four cases mentioned in the caption having been consolidated for trial and presenting the same questions of law and fact, the record in each of the said four cases shall be similarly printed; that is to say, that the record shall be the record of the consolidated cases, and the requisite number of copies in each case shall be bound and entitled in the particular cases, and it is further stipulated that the four cases shall be consolidated on appeal and presented as a consolidated case with the approval of the Appellate Court, the same record to be used by both parties on their respective appeals.

Each and every provision and term of this stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the trial court.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Appellants. West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Appellee and Cross-appellant in Cause #2929.

[fol. 19] In United States District Court

Order Approving Stipulation—Filed August 13, 1923

It is ordered that the terms and provisions contained in the foregoing stipulation be and they are hereby approved, this the 31st day of August, 1923.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Additional Stipulation as to Printing Record—Filed August 24, 1923

In addition to the stipulation heretofore filed herein, relative to the record in this cause for appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and for the purpose of further simplifying the record in the above cases, which it is anticipated will be consolidated for briefing and argument.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that the record, as agreed by prior stipulation entered into herein and approved by the Court, shall be printed in full in No. 2929, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, plaintiffs, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, defendant, and that in each of the other cases above referred to the record shall consist of the pleadings, the former stipulation and this stipulation, and that the record in No. 2929 shall be and become a part of the record in each of the other cases above styled, by reference thereto.

It is intended hereby to avoid the incorporation of all exhibits and all of the evidence in each record of each particular case.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1923.

Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Appellants. West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Appellee.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 20] Clerk's certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

21 And thereafter the following proceedings were had in said cause in the Circuit Court of Appeals, viz:

(Appearance of Counsel for Appellant.)

In The United States Circuit Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6500 vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation Appellee.

The undersigned, counsel for Edward C. Twist, Appellant, hereby enter therein appearance in this court and pray that they be enrolled as of counsel for such appellant.

JAMES S. WATSON,
D. H. LINEBAUGH,
PAUL PINSON,
F. B. FITE, JR.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Oct. 9, 1923.

(Appearance of Counsel for Appellee.)

The Clerk will enter my appearance as Counsel for the Apellee.

J. T. FLANNELLY,
PAUL B. MASON,
PRESTON C. WEST,
ROGER S. SHERMAN,
GREY MOORE,
A. A. DAVIDSON.

(Endorsed: Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Nov. 3, 1923.

---

22 (Order of Submission and Opinion.)

Memorandum of Clerk:

On the 3rd day of December, 1924, this cause, together with causes Nos. 6499, 6501, 6502 and 6508, were submitted to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and on the 9th day of May, 1925, an opinion of the said United States Circuit Court of Appeals was filed in causes Nos. 6499, 6500, 6501, 6502 and 6508, and a full copy of the order of submission and of the opinion having been includ-

ed in the transcript taken for the Supreme Court of the United States on an application for writ of certiorari in cause No. 6499, Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee, the same are omitted from the transcript in this cause, No. 6500.

---

23 (Decree.)

United States Circuit of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

May Term, 1925,
Saturday, May, 9, 1925.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6500. vs.
Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a corporation.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma and was argued by counsel.

On Consideration Whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged, and decreed by this Court, that the decree of the said District Court, in this cause, be, and the same is hereby, affirmed with costs; and that the Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a corporation, have and recover against Edward C. Twist the sum of twenty dollars for its costs herein and have execution therefor.

May 9, 1925.

---

24 (Petition for and Order Denying Rehearing.)

Memorandum of Clerk:

On the 6th day of July, 1925, a petition for rehearing was filed by Edward C. Twist and others in causes Nos. 6499, 6500, 6501, 6502 and 6508, and on the 2nd day of December, 1925, an order was entered in said causes denying the said petition for rehearing, and a full copy of the petition for rehearing and of the order denying the petition having been included in the transcript taken for the Supreme Court of the United States on an application for writ of certiorari in cause No. 6499, Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee, the same are omitted from the transcript in this cause, No. 6500.

(Motions for Stay of Issuance of Mandates and Orders thereon.)

Memorandum of Clerk:

On the 15th day of December, 1925, a motion for stay of mandates was filed by counsel for appellants in causes Nos. 6499 and 6500, and an order was entered staying the issuance of the mandates for a period of forty days from and after December 15, 1925. On the 26th day of January, 1926, a motion for a further stay of the mandates was filed in said causes and an order entered further staying the issuance of the mandates until February 16, 1926. A full copy of each of the motions and of each of the orders having been included in the transcript taken for the Supreme Court of the United States on an aplication for writ of certiorari in cause No. 6499, Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee, the same are omitted from the transcript in this cause, No. 6500.

---

25 (Clerk's Certificate.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

I, E. E. Koch, Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, do hereby certify that the foregoing contains the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma as prepared, printed and certified by the Clerk of said District Court to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals in pursuance of the Act of Congress, approved February 13, 1911, and full, true and complete copies of the pleadings, record entries and proceedings, had and filed in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, except the full captions, titles and endorsements omitted in pursuance of the rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, in a certain cause in said Circuit Court of Appeals wherein Edward C. Twist was Appellant and the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Corporation, was Appellee, No. 6500, as full, true and complete as the originals of the same remain on file and of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, at office in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, this first day of February, A. D. 1926.

E. E. KOCH,
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

(Seal)

[fol. 26] IN SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDER ALLOWING CERTIORARI—Filed March 22, 1926

The petition herein for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit is granted. And it is further ordered that the duly certified copy of the transcript of the proceedings below which accompanied the petition shall be treated as though filed in response to such writ.

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1926

No. 302

EDWARD C. TWIST, ALBERT T. TWIST, AND JESSIE L. PAYNE, NEE TWIST, PETITIONERS,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED FEBRUARY 11, 1926

CERTIORARI GRANTED MARCH 15, 1926

(21,700)

(31,709)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1926

No. 302

EDWARD C. TWIST, ALBERT T. TWIST, AND JESSIE L. PAYNE, NEE TWIST, PETITIONERS,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

INDEX

	Original	Print
Proceedings in United States circuit court of appeals eighth circuit	a	1
Caption ..... omitted in printing	a	
Record from district court of United States, eastern district of Oklahoma	1	1
Caption	1	1
Petition	2	2
Exhibit A—Final decree in county court of Tulsa County In re Estate of Wm. C. Twist, deceased	8	10
Exhibit B—Petition for permission of guardian to extend leases	10	11
Exhibit C—Order setting date for hearing petition for extension of leases	12	14
Exhibit D—Report and return of guardian on extension of leases	13	15
Exhibit E—Order approving agreement to extend leases	15	18
Exhibit F—Petition of guardian of Kuroki and Willie V. Twist to extend lease	17	21
Exhibit G—Order permitting guardian to extend leases	20	25

JUDD & DETWEILER (INC.), PRINTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 12, 1926

	Original	Print
Exhibit H—Report of guardian	21	26
Exhibit I—Order of confirmation	22	27
Exhibit J—Agreement extending term of lease	23	30
Exhibit L—Notice of sale and proof of publication	26	33
Answer	28	36
Reply	32	40
Decree	33	41
Statement of evidence	35	43
Stipulation re facts	35	43
Colloquy between court and counsel	35	44
Testimony of Leoria L. Weidy	43	52
Testimony of Albert T. Twist	53	64
Colloquy between court and counsel	57	68
Testimony of W. F. Phillips	58	69
Colloquy between court and counsel	59	71
Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 1—Extract from portion of pages 50 to 55, inclusive, report of Commissioner of Indian Affairs, 1914	60	72
Defendant's Exhibit No. 1—Transfer order of May 11, 1922	67	80
Defendant's Exhibit No. 2—Transfer order of January 15, 1917	69	82
Defendant's Exhibit No. 3—Transfer order of November 1, 1918	70	84
Defendant's Exhibit No. 4—Voucher dated May 15, 1922, payable to Albert T. Twist	72	85
Defendant's Exhibit No. 5—Oil and gas lease	73	86
Petition for appeal of Edward C. Twist et al	78	93
Assignment of errors	79	93
Order allowing appeal	82	96
Bond on appeal (omitted in printing)	82	
Stipulation as to printing record	83	97
Petition of Prairie Oil & Gas Co. for appeal	85	98
Assignment of errors of Prairie Oil & Gas Co.	86	100
Bond on appeal (omitted in printing)	87	
Additional stipulation as to printing record	88	102
Praecipe of Prairie Oil & Gas Co. for transcript of record	89	103
Clerk's certificate (omitted in printing)	90	
Appearances of counsel	91	105
Argument and submission	92	106
Opinion, Booth, J.	93	107
Decree	100	114
Petition for rehearing (omitted in printing)	101	
Order denying petition for rehearing	119	117
Motion for stay of mandate	119	117
Order staying mandate	120	118
Motion for further stay of mandate	120	118
Order further staying mandate	121	119
Clerk's certificate	121	119
Order allowing certiorari	122	120

[fol. a] [Caption omitted]

[fol. 1]

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Pleas and proceedings before the Honorable R. L. Williams, judge of the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, presiding, in the following entitled cause:

Equity. No. 2929

EDWARD C. TWIST, KUROKI TWIST, and WILLIE V. TWIST, Minors, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian; Albert T. Twist, and Jessie L. Payne, Plaintiffs,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, a Corporation, Defendant

EDWARD C. TWIST, ALBERT T. TWIST and JESSIE L. PAYNE, nee TWIST, Appellants,

vs.

PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, a Corporation, Appellee

THE PRAIRIE OIL & GAS COMPANY, Appellant,

vs.

KUROKI TWIST and WILLIE V. TWIST, Appellees

CAPTION

Be it remembered that this suit was begun in the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, and came to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, upon Petition, Bond, and Order of Removal; the [fol. 2] Transcript being filed in the office of said Clerk on the 15th day of September, 1922, and was given No. 2929 Equity.

The portion of the transcript from the District Court in and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, called for in the stipulation of counsel, is in words and figures as follows:

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the District Court

No. 19965

Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist, and Willie V. Twist, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian; Albert T. Twist, and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, Plaintiffs,

vs.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a Corporation, Defendant

Attorneys' Lien Claimed

Petition—Filed in District Court of Tulsa County, August 3, 1922; in District Court of United States September 15, 1922

Come now the plaintiffs, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne nee Twist, and for cause of action against the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company, allege:

That Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist are all minors, under guardianship, and that Leoria L. Twist Weldy is their duly appointed, qualified and acting Guardian, under the jurisdiction of the County Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma;

That Prairie Oil & Gas Company is a corporation, doing business within the State of Oklahoma, with officers and agents in Tulsa, upon whom service of summons may be had.

1. Plaintiffs allege that William G. Twist died intestate in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, on or about the 27th day of June, 1907, leaving surviving him his widow, now Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and the following children: Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist, Willie V. Twist, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, plaintiffs in this action.

2. That at the time of his death, he was seized of the following described lands, to wit:

Lot Three (3), Section 19, Township 20 N., Range 13 E., the north 15.28 acres of Lot Seven (7), Section 14, Township 20 N., Range 12 E., and the South Half (S. 2) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) and the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of Section 24, Township 20 N., Range 12 E., in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

3. That the said William G. Twist, prior to his death, executed to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, a certain oil and gas mining lease covering the above [fol. 3] described lands, which lease by its terms expired on the 29th day of September, 1919, and that said lease remained in full force and effect up to and including the said 29th day of September, 1919; that by virtue of assignment, properly executed and recorded, the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company became the owner of all the right, title and interest of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company under and by virtue of said lease so executed by the said William G. Twist.

4. That on the 17th day of June, 1910, in the matter of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, the County Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, entered its final decree, copy of which is hereto attached, made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit A," wherein and whereby it was decreed that the interests of the respective heirs in and to the property aforesaid were and are as follows: Leoria L. Twist 1 3 for life, Albert T. Twist 2 15, Jessie L. Twist 2 15, Edward C. Twist 2 15, Kuroki Twist 2 15 and Willie V. Twist 2 15. Plaintiffs further allege that subject to the life estate of the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, they and each of them are entitled to an undivided one fifth (1 5) interest in and to the real property and premises above described.

5. That thereafter, and on, to-wit, the 5th day of June, 1916, the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company procured the execution by the guardian of a certain petition, a copy of which is hereto attached, made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B," which said petition was filed in the County Court of Tulsa County on the said 5th day of

June, 1916, and by which said petition the said lessee sought to secure through said guardian its so-called extension of the oil and gas mining lease then held and owned by them.

6. Pursuant to said petition and on the said 5th day of June, 1916, the County Court of Tulsa County entered its order setting the 16th day of June, 1916, as the date for hearing the said petition. A copy of said order, marked "Exhibit C," is hereto attached and made a part of this petition.

7. That no order of sale pursuant to said petition was ever entered by the County Court of Tulsa County, but on the said 16th day of June, 1916, a so-called report and return of guardian and administratrix was filed in the said County Court, of which a copy is annexed to this petition and marked "Exhibit D" and made a part hereof as though fully set out herein.

8. That thereafter on the said 16th day of June, 1916, a certain order of the County Court was entered, which purported to approve a certain agreement and stipulation theretofore entered into, between the guardian and the said [fol. 4] Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, of which a copy appears as a part of this petition, hereto attached and marked "Exhibit E".

9. That of the above described proceedings in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, each and all of them referred to the estates only of the wards Albert T. Twist (now adult), Jessie L. Twist, now Jessie L. Payne (now adult), and Edward C. Twist; and that thereafter certain proceedings purporting or attempting to cover the interests of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minor wards, were had as follows, to-wit:

On the 13th day of March, 1917, the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, filed in the County Court of Tulsa County, her certain petition, of which a copy is attached and made a part of this petition, marked "Exhibit F," whereby the said guardian sought, by reason of an alleged prior inadvertence, to secure authority from the Court to join for her said wards in the stipulation theretofore entered into and hereafter referred to, without further or additional consideration and without any notice of hearing or any opportunity for public bidding thereon.

Pursuant to said petition the County Court on the said 13th day of March, 1917, entered an order authorizing the guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist to execute an extension of the oil and gas lease hereinabove referred to, a copy of said order being hereto attached, made a part of this petition and marked "Exhibit G."

Pursuant thereto the said guardian executed her report, showing that she has executed said extension agreement, which said report was filed on the said March 13, 1917, a copy of which is hereto attached and made a part hereof, marked "Exhibit H."

Whereupon, the County Court entered its order of confirmation of the same date, to wit, March 13, 1917, a copy of which is hereto attached, made a part of this petition and marked "Exhibit I."

10. Your plaintiffs hereto attach copy of the stipulation entered into between the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company on the 16th day of June, 1916, as hereinabove referred to and marked the same for identification "Exhibit J."

11. The plaintiffs also attach a copy of the stipulation entered into on March 13, 1917, between the said guardian and the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, and make the same a part of this petition, as "Exhibit K," hereto.

[fol. 5] 12. That by virtue of the proceedings aforesaid and the assignment of the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company to the defendant Prairie Oil & Gas Company, the said defendant has entered upon said premises and from and after the 29th day of September, 1919, has operated the same for oil and gas mining purposes, assuming to be the owners of the leasehold estate therein, withdrawing from the property vast quantities of oil and gas and appropriating the same to their own use and benefit, and that the amount and value of the oil and gas, sold, removed and appropriated by the defendant, plaintiffs allege to be the sum of $125,000.00.

13. That each and all of the proceedings above set forth were insufficient in law to confer upon the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company or its assignee, Prairie Oil & Gas Company, any right, title or interest other than that con-

ferred by the original lease, executed by William G. Twist above described, all of which right, title and interest expired on the 29th day of September, 1919; that the said interest was sold in bulk, together with other entirely distinct interests of other persons in other lands; that the so-called sales of extension leases as aforesaid, were not made at public outcry, as provided by the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and the rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, especially as provided by Rule 9 of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, promulgated on June 11, 1914, effective July 14, 1914, which Rule is in words and figured as follows, to wit:

"No oil and gas or other mineral lease belonging to minors or incompetents will be approved except after sale in open court to the highest and best responsible bidder. All petitions for the approval of oil and gas leases shall be filed five days before the same are sold, as provided herein. A notice of said sale must be given by posting and by publication where publication is practicable."

14. That as to the minor wards, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, the said proceedings are also void for the further reason that no consideration passed to said minors, but that they were forced by the action of the County Court and the guardian to participate in a proceeding theretofore had and that no opportunity was given them to protect their rights or to any other bidder to intervene.

15. That said extension agreement so executed by the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, was void for the further reason that it was procured by the fraud, duress and false dealing of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company so exerted that the said agreement was procured for a grossly inadequate consideration, the actual value of the said agree- [fol. 6] ment at the date thereof being not less than $175,(88118), while the consideration actually paid was only $18.(88118), the lands herein described as the allotment of the said William G. Twist, deceased, and covered by this action being included in said extension agreement. That said false and fraudulent dealing and duress consisted of the following acts and representations, to wit: That the officer of the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company having

full and complete control of the operations of the company, and of all its business was one J. F. Pitt, and another of the said officers was one H. P. Anderson; and that said officers and agents of the said company, expecting to secure the said agreement, and with the view of obtaining influence and the friendship and confidence of the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, the said guardian, proposed, agreed to and did execute her bond as guardian, and are now the bondsmen upon the guardian's bond of the said guardian. That prior to the date of the said agreement, they, and particularly the said Pitt, advised the said guardian that it was beneficial and to the best interest of the said wards that such an extension agreement should be executed, and that a consideration of $18,000.00 was adequate and sufficient therefor, which said statements and representations were false and known to the said Pitt to be false, but which said representations were relied upon by the said guardian, who placed implicit confidence in the judgment *to* the said bondsmen, knowing them to be practical and experienced oil men. That to further the said scheme to secure the said agreement, the said officers and agents approached the attorney for the said guardian, E. Robitaille, and endeavored to secure his cooperation, and also sought the influence of the ward, Albert T. Twist, and failing to secure their aid in their efforts they resorted to threats, and advised the guardian that they would be compelled to complain to the court of her failure to do what they represented to be for the best interests of the wards, and suggested to the said son of the guardian, Albert T. Twist, that his mother could be removed as guardian if she failed to carry out their desires; and the guardian, believing that this course was capable of being pursued by them, and fearing that the affairs of her children might be placed in the hands of strangers, consented to the proposed agreement, and signed the papers prepared for her by the said officer of the said company. That to further lull the suspicions of the guardian, and to present the appearance of fair dealing, the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company through its said agents, officers and attorneys, prepared what purported to be a notice of sale, so that it appears that notice of public sale was given, but plaintiffs aver that said notice

is in fact a notice to show cause why a sale should not be had, and that no order of sale was in fact ever made and en- [fol. 7] tered by the court, and no public sale had, but that the agreement was made pursuant to an understanding had prior thereto, and without opportunity to others than the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to bid thereon. All of which more fully and clearly appears from the petition of the guardian filed in the County Court, a copy of which appears as a part of this petition, hereto attached, and marked "Exhibit B"; the order setting said petition for hearing, of which a copy appears as a part of this petition, hereto attached and marked "Exhibit C," the so called notice of sale, together with proof of posting thereof, a copy of which is hereto attached, made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit L," the guardian's return and report of sale and extension, a copy of which is hereto attached, made a part of this petition, and marked "Exhibit D," and the order of confirmation, a copy of which is made a part of this petition, and is hereto attached, as "Exhibit E," all of said document being a part of the records in the County Court of Tulsa County, in Probate No. 168, styled "In the Matter of the Guardianship of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors."

16. That the said acts of the defendant in removing the oil and gas from the premises above described constitute as to these plaintiffs a trespass from and after the 29th day of September, 1919, by reason of which they have been damaged in the sum of $125,000.00.

Whereof, plaintiffs pray judgment against the defendants for the sum of $125,000.00 together with all their costs herein laid out and expended.

Second Cause of Action

Plaintiffs further allege for their second cause of action herein:

1. That they adopt and hereby reiterate each and every allegation contained in the foregoing cause of action, as a part of this, their second cause of action.

2. Further complaining, plaintiffs allege that the stipulations, copies of which are hereto attached and marked "Exhibit K" and Exhibit J", which purport to extend the oil and gas mining lease herein referred to, which terminated and expired on the 29th day of September, 1919, and each of said stipulations are clouds upon the title of your plaintiffs, which, except by the action of a court of equity they are powerless to remove.

3. That your plaintiffs are the owners of 10/15ths of the oil and gas rights in said premises during the lifetime [fol. 8] of Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and are entitled to all of the oil and gas rights as remaindermen after her death. Plaintiffs admit that the defendant is the owner of the leasehold estate, insofar as the life hold estate of Leoria L. Twist Weldy is concerned, but that they have no right, title or interest in the remainder or in any portion of the life estate save and except the right to receive and take to themselves one third of the oil and gas produced on the premises above described.

Wherefore plaintiffs pray that they have judgment of this court declaring the respective interests of the parties hereto cancelling the stipulations heretofore executed between Leoria L. Twist Weldy, guardian and Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, for the purpose of extending the lease as hereinabove described and set forth, and that the defendant be enjoined from hereafter asserting any right, title or interest in and to said property, save and except as to the life estate of the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy; that the title of your plaintiffs and their interests as herein set forth be quieted and confirmed, and that your plaintiffs have all other and further relief to which they may in equity be entitled.

(Signed) Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

[File endorsement omitted.]

"Exhibit A" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist, Administrtrix

Final Decree

It appearing to the court now here on satisfactory proofs and the evidence, that the necessary expenses of funeral, of last sickness of said deceased, and of administration of said estate, have been fully paid, and that all the debts existing against said deceased, or allowed by the court, pursuant to law, have been fully paid and satisfied, and that said estate has been fully administered, as by final account, of Leoria L. Twist, administratrix of said estate, duly audited and allowed by this court, pursuant to due notice given and [fol. 9] served, will appear, reference being had thereto, and that said estate is ready for distribution.

And it further appearing, that due notice of the application for this final decree in said matter assigning the estate to the persons thereto entitled by law, has been given and served pursuant to the law in such case made and now provided.

And it further appearing, that said deceased died intestate, and the residue of said estate, consists of the following described real estate, to wit: S. 2 of N. E. and N. E. of S. E. of S. E., Sec. 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, and the N. W. of S. W., of Sec. 19, Township 20 North, Range 13 East, and one horse of the value of $125.00.

And it further appearing to the court that the widow's dower has never been assigned to her by metes and bounds, and that said described lands has large quantities of oil therein, that there is a valid and subsisting oil and gas mining lease thereon and that same was executed before the death of said William G. Twist, and that the widow of said William G. Twist, deceased, is Leoria L. Twist, and that said widow, Leoria L. Twist, is entitled to all of the rents and profits from said described land up to the date

of the filing of her final report herein as administratrix of the said estate, and that by said final report said widow asks that she be allowed all of the said rents and profits up to the 15th day of April, 1910; and that after said date the rents and profits therein be divided as follows, to-wit: one third to said widow Leoria L. Twist, in lieu of her dower, and the remaining two thirds to the following named heirs of said William G. Twist, deceased, to-wit: Albert T. Twist, Jessie L. Twist, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, sons and daughters of said William G. Twist, for the reason that said land will not admit of division as set forth in the final report herein.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that said Leoria L. Twist is to receive in lieu of her dower one third of the rents and profits of said described land, Albert T. Twist, 2 15, Jessie L. Twist 2 15, Edward C. Twist 2 15, Kuroki Twist 2 15, and Willie V. Twist 2 15 of the rents and profits of the said estate and remainder in fee to the said named heirs, their heirs and assigns forever.

Witness my hand and the seal of said court this 17th day of June, A. D., 1910.

(Signed) N. J. Gubser, Judge of the County Court.

[fol. 10] "Exhibit B" to Petition

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian.

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of William C. Smith, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Administratrix.

Petition

Comes now Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and respectfully shows the court that she is the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist,

and Jessie L. Twist, minors; and that she is the duly appointed, qualified and acting administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased; that the said guardianship and administration matters are at this time within the jurisdiction of this court.

Your petitioner states that heretofore, by due procedure of law, oil and gas leases were granted upon the lands of said minors and the said William G. Twist, deceased, as hereinafter appears; that said oil and gas leases are now in full force and effect and are held by the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, and are now in the course of development and are producing oil as herein set forth; that said leases were made at a time when the oil business within the state of Oklahoma was in its infancy and said leases were made for a definite period without proper regard for the interests of the lessor and lessee.

A description of said leases is here set forth, to-wit:

1. The estate of William G. Twist, deceased; Lot 3, S. 19, T. 20 N. R. 13 E., and N. 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N. R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, bearing date of September 30, 1904, and expiring September 28, 1919.

2. The lease of Albert T. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit: N. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. W. 4 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, Twp. 20 N., R. 12 East, containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22, 1905, and expiring September 3rd, 1918.

3. The lease of Edward C. Twist, covering the following lands, to-wit: N. 2 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E. containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22, 1905, and expiring March 21, 1920.

4. The lease of Jessie L. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit: W. 2 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and Lot 4 of Sec. 19 T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and S. 15.25 acres of lot 7, [fol. 11] Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, bearing date of March 22, 1905, and expiring December 7, 1918.

That all of said lands are located within Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma:

That said minors are children of your petitioner and William G. Twist, deceased; that your petitioner and the said three minors are the heirs of the said William G. Twist, and are the owners in fee of the estate of the lands above described as the estate of William G. Twist.

That the royalty accruing under the terms of the leases above set forth is ten (10%) per cent of the value of the crude oil from the premises.

In consideration of the extension of the term of each lease for a period so long as oil or gas shall be produced therefrom the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, has offered to increase the royalty on each lease from ten per cent (10%) to tweanve and one-half (12½%) per cent, effective forthwith, and pay to your petitioner for the benefit of herself and the said three minors, as their interests in said lands may appear, and agreed amount of money.

Your petitioner is of the opinion that said proposition is beneficial and for the best interests of herself and said minors. Your petitioner is aware that the value of oil is fluctuation; that the amount of oil the land will produce is unknown; that the business of producing is attended by many hazards, and that the said sum of money in hand, within the immediate increase of royalty, is a certain profit which your petitioner believes it her duty, on behalf of said minors, to accept.

Your petitioner further shows that the leasehold described as the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, has produced for the months of February, March and April, a daily average of royalty of 8.53 barrels; that the Albert T. Twist lease has produced a daily average royalty for said period of 2.27 barrels; that the Edward C. Twist has produced for said period a daily average royalty of 2.45 barrels; that the Jessie L. Twist lease has produced for said period a daily average royalty of 3.95 barrels; that your petitioner believes it will be equitable to pro rate the same agreed sum of money on the daily average royalty for said three months of February, March and April, 1916.

Wherefore, your petitioner prays that she be permitted to enter into an agreement extending the term of each of said leases for a term so long as oil or gas shall be found thereon; that said agreement be confirmed and approved

by this court, and that said moneys be distributed as aforesaid.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Petitioner.

[fol. 12] State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, having first been duly sworn on her oath, says: That she is the petitioner in the above and foregoing petition; that she has read the same and that the matters and things therein stated are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

(Signed) John Schmitz.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of June, 1916. (Signed) John Schmitz, Notary Public. My commission expires February 9th, 1919. (Seal.)

Endorsements: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, June 5, 1916. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

"Exhibit C" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Aubrey T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian.

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Administratrix

Order Setting Date for Hearing Petition for Sale of Extension of Leases for Oil and Gas Mining Purposes

Now on this day of June, 1916, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of the

estates of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors, and the duly appointed, qualified and acting administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, having filed in this court her petition praying leave of court to extend the leases on the individual allotments of land of her said wards, and on the lands of William G. Twist, deceased, for which she is administratrix, for the benefit of said wards and for herself, for oil and gas purposes, from the date of expiration of the present lease on each of said tracts of land for a period so long as oil or gas shall be produced.

It is ordered, that said petition be and the same hereby is set for hearing on the 10th day of June, 1916, at 10:30 o'clock A. M., and that the notice thereof in three (3) different public places in said county, publication not being practicable.

(Signed) John R. Ramsey, County Judge.

Endorsed: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, June 5, 1916. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

[fol. 13] "Exhibit D" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors; Leoria L. Twist Welch, Guardian

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist Welch, Administratrix

Report and Return of Guardian and Administratrix on Sale of Extension of the Term of Oil and Gas Mining to Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company.

Comes now Leoria L. Twist, the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C.

Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors, and administratrix, duly appointed, qualified and acting in such capacity, of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, and reports to this Honorable Court that on the 16th day of June, 1916, as such guardian and administratrix she executed and delivered certain oil and gas mining leases covering the hereinafter described lands lying, being and situated in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation of Los Angeles, California, and transacting business in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in accordance with the prior order of this court setting date for hearing of her petition for sale of said oil and gas leases covering said lands below described, said extension of the term of said oil and gas leases being from the date of expiration of each of said present leases for a period of so long as oil or gas shall be produced, said tracts being described as follows:

Oil and gas mining lease on the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, covering Lot 3 of Section 19, Township 20 North, Range 13 East, and the North 15.28 acres of Lot 7 of Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, and the South Half of the Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter and the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 81.69 acres, extension of term beginning September 29, 1919.

Oil and gas mining lease of Albert T. Twist, a minor, covering the North half of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 east, containing 30 acres, more or less, extension of term to begin September 3rd, 1918.

Oil and gas mining lease of Edward C. Twist, a minor, covering the north half of the southeast quarter of the [fol. 14] northeast quarter and the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 30 acres, more or less, extension of term to begin March 21st, 1920.

Oil *Oil* and gas mining lease of Jessie L. Twist, a minor, covering the west half of the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 24, Town-

ship 20 North, Range 12 East, and Lot 4 of Section 19, Township 20 North, Range 13 East, and the south 15.25 acres of Lot 7 of Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 80.69 acres, extension of term to begin December 7th, 1918.

For a total cash bonus of Eighteen Thousand and no/100 ($18,000.00) Dollars to her in hand paid by the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, said amount to be pro rated according to certain agreements entered into between the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, in writing with the guardian and administratrix aforesaid and as in each of said agreements set out according to the average daily production of said oil and gas leases for the months of February, March and April, 1916, as a basis for computation of said royalty interests, and said agreement calling for an increase in the amount of royalty on each of the above described leases from ten (10%) per cent to twelve and one half (12½%) per cent of all the oil and gas produced and saved from said premises and each of the above described leaseholds, said extension agreement covering said oil and gas leases providing that the same shall be for a period from the date of the expiration of each of said present leases for so long as oil or gas shall be produced.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1916.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of the Estate of Albert T. Twist, Edward G. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors; Administratrix of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased. (Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, on her own behalf.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, of lawful age, being first duly sworn on her oath deposes and says, that she is the legal guardian of the estates of Albert T. Twist, Edward G. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors, and is the legal administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased; [fol. 15] that she has read the above and foregoing return and report and that the facts therein stated are true.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of June, 1916. (Signed), E. F. Gesten, Notary Public. My commission expires September 16, 1919. (Seal.)

Endorsement: County Court, State of Oklahoma, Tulsa County, June 17, 1916. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

"Exhibit E" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors; Leoria L. Twist, Guardian.

Order

Now on the 16th day of June, 1916, the above matters coming on for hearing before the court, and the guardian appearing in person, and the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, appearing by its attorneys, Rice & Lyons; and it appearing that the guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, has heretofore, on the 5th day of June, 1916, filed a petition praying for the extension of the term of certain oil and gas leases heretofore granted upon the lands of the minors above named, and the court having heard the petition, witnesses and statement of counsel, is advised in the premises and finds:

1. That due and proper notice of said hearing has been given.

2. That heretofore oil and gas leases were granted upon the lands of said minors, and the lands of said Twist, deceased; that said oil and gas leases are now in full force and effect and are held by the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, and are now in the course of de-

velopment and are producing oil; that said minors are the children of the petitioner, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and William G. Twist, deceased; that said petitioner and the three minors are the sole heirs of the said William G. Twist, deceased; that the royalty accruing under the terms of the leases above set forth is ten (10%) per cent of the crude oil produced from the premises.

3. That the petitioner, for herself and as guardian and the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, have agreed that the term of each of said leases shall be extended for a period so long as oil or gas shall be produced therefrom, in consideration of the payment by the said company of the [fol. 16] sum of Eighteen Thousand ($18,000.00) Dollars in cash, and an increase of the royalty on each lease ten (10%) per cent to twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent, effective forthwith, and the drilling of a well on each of said tracts within 6 months from this date.

4. That the said guardian is satisfied that the said agreement is beneficial and for the best interests of the said minors.

5. The court finds that said proposition is beneficial and for the best interests of said minors and that the same should be approved;

6. That the method of distribution of the said sum of Eighteen Thousand ($18,000.00) Dollars as prayed for by the guardian is satisfactory.

Wherefore, it is Ordered, Considered and Adjudged, that the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, be and she hereby is authorized in consideration of Eighteen Thousand ($18,000.00) Dollars in cash, an increase of the royalty from ten (10%) per cent to twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent and the drilling of a well on each of said tracts within six (6) months of date hereof, to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, extending the terms of the following described leases, to-wit:

The estate of William G. Twist, deceased: Lot 3, Sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and N. 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and N. E. 4 of

S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, bearing date of September 30, 1904.

The lease of Albert T. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit: N. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. W. 4 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905.

The lease of Edward C. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit: N. 2 *fo* S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905.

The lease of Jessie L. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit: W. 2 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and Lot 4 of Sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and S. 15.25 acres of Lot 7 of Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905, for a term so long as oil or gas shall be produced therefrom.

It is further considered, ordered and adjudged that the court having examined said agreements extending the terms of said leases, does hereby approve and confirm the same, and does approve and confirm the extension of said leases for a term so long as oil or gas shall be found thereon.

[fol. 17] Witness the hand of the court this 16th day of June, A. D., 1916.

(Signed) John R. Ramsey, County Judge. (Seal.)

Endorsement: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, June 17, 1916. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

"Exhibit F" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Kuroki Twist, a Minor, and Willie V. Twist, a Minor; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian.

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Administratrix

Petition

Comes now Leoria L. Twist Weldy and respectfully shows the court that she is the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of Kuroki Twist, a minor and Willie V. Twist, a minor, and that she is the duly appointed, qualified and acting administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased; that said guardianship and administration matters are at this time within the jurisdiction of this court.

Your petitioner states that William G. Twist, now deceased, made, executed and delivered in his life time an oil and gas mining lease to the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, covering the hereinafter described premises; that in the course of development and producing oil; that on June 5th, 1916, your petitioner filed a petition as administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, and as guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, *deceased, and as guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist*, and Jessie L. Twist, minors, asking for authority to execute a stipulation extending the terms of said lease for as long as oil or gas is found, said petition being on file in Probate No. 168, and Probate No. 167 of the files of this court and being hereby specifically referred to and made a part hereof;

That in said petition and in the proceedings had thereon it is set forth that said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, said Albert

Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, were the sole heirs of William G. Twist, deceased, and the owners in fee of said estate of William G. Twist, deceased, described in said petition said lands being described as follows:

Lot 3, S. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., & N. 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and containing 80.69 acres.

[fol. 18] That pursuant to said petition, at a public sale held after due notice, said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, purchased an extension of its oil and gas mining lease on said premises, for as long as oil or gas is found, for the sum of approximately $8,926.74, together with increase in the royalty from ten per cent (10%) to twelve and one-half per cent (12½%) effective on June 17, 1916, and the further agreement to drill a well on said tract.

That said order reads as follows:

Wherefore, it is ordered, considered and adjudged, that the said guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, be and she hereby is authorized, in consideration of the sum of Eighteen Thousand ($18,000.00) Dollars in cash, and increase of the royalty from ten per cent (10%) to twelve and one-half per cent (12½%) and the drilling of a well on each of said tracts within six (6) months of date hereof, to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, extending the terms of the following described leases, to wit:

"The estate of William G. Twist, deceased:

Lot 3, Sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and N. 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Sec. 14, 20 N., R. 12 E., and S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, baring date of September 30, 1904.

"The lease of Albert T. Twist, covering the following described lands, to wit:

N. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. W. 4 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905.

"The lease of Edward C. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit:

N. 2 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of N. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 30 acres, more or less, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905.

"The lease of Jessie L. Twist, covering the following described lands, to-wit:

W. 2 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Sec. 24, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and Lot 4 of Sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and S. 15.25 acres of Lot 7 of Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., containing 80.69 acres, bearing date of March 22nd, 1905.

for a term so long as oil or gas shall be produced therefrom."

That pursuant to the terms thereof and pursuant to the method of distribution of said sum of $18,000.00 therein re-
[fol. 19] ferred to, said sum of $8,926.74 was paid to the estate of William G. Twist and is now held by said Leoria L. Twist Weldy for the benefit of the heirs of said William G. Twist.

That the allegations of the petition and order in said proceedings herein referred to are erroneous in that said Kuroki Twist, and Willie V. Twist, minors, are children of William G. Twist, deceased, and for this petitioner; that said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, are entitled to participate and are now participating in the consideration paid by said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, for said extension of lease, and are each entitled to, and are receiving, an equal amount of the said consideration together with said Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jesse L. Twist, minors; that your petitioner did not join in said stipulation as guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors; that said omission was due to inadvertance; that a good and valuable consideration for such stipulation has been paid and is now in the hands of your petitioner for the benefit of said minors; that it is beneficial and for the best interests of the estates of each of said minors to permit petitioner to execute said stipula-

tion as guardian of said minors, said increase of royalty to be effective June 17, 1916.

Wherefore, petitioner prays that she be authorized to make, execute and deliver as guardian of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, a stipulation identical in form and substance with said stipulation executed on June 17th, 1916, the consideration for same having been received on said date and now being held by petitioner for the benefit of the estates of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Petitioner.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, having first been duly sworn, on her oath says, that she is the petitioner in the above and foregoing petition; that she has read the same and that the matters and things therein stated are true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of March, 1917. (Signed) H. L. Standeven, County Judge. (Seal.)

Endorsed: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, March 31, 1917. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

[fol. 20] "Exhibit G" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Kuroki Twist, a Minor, and Willie V. Twist, a Minor; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Administratrix

Now, on this 13th day of March, 1917, the petition of Leoria L. Twist Weldy as guardian of Willie V. Twist, and Kuroki Twist, minors, having come on for hearing, and the court being advised in the premised and having heard the evidence;

And it appearing that the Honorable John P. Boyd, United States Probate Attorney, has waived advertisement in said proceedings for the reasons set out in the written waiver which is hereby referred to and made a part of this order; and,

It appearing that the allegations of the petition of said guardian are true.

Now, therefore, it is ordered, considered, and adjudged that said Leoria L. Twist Weldy be and she hereby is authorized to execute an extension of oil and gas mining lease as guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, covering the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, described as follows:

Lot Three of Section 19, Township 20 North Range 13 East, the North 15.28 acres of Lot Seven, Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East; the South Half of the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, of the Indian Base and Meridian, containing 80.69 acres, more or less, situated in Tulsa County, Okla.,

the consideration for said extension having been paid after the sale in open court on June 17, 1916, to said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, for the benefit of the estate of said William G. Twist, deceased.

It is further ordered, considered and adjudged that said guardian file a report and return of proceedings hereunder.

(Signed) H. L. Standeven, Judge. (Seal.)

Endorsements: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, March 13, 1917. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

[fol. 21] "Exhibit H" to Petition

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

In the County Court

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of Kuroki Twist, a Minor, and Willie V. Twist, a Minor; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of William G. Twist, deceased; Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Administratrix

Report

Comes now Leoria L. Twist Weldy, guardian of Kuroki Twist, a minor, and Willie V. Twist, a minor, and shows the court that pursuant to the order of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, made, on the 13th day of March, 1917, she executed a certain stipulation extending the term of lease to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, said stipulation being attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Said guardian prays that said stipulation be in all things approved and that she be directed as guardian and as administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, to apply the proceeds of said sale of June 17, 1916, for the

benefit of all the heirs of said William G. Twist, deceased, until further orders of this court.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian.

Endorsements: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, March 13, 1917. Files. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, on her oath deposes and says, that she is the legal guardian of Willia V. Twist and Kuroki Twist, minors, and is the legal administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased; that she has read the above and foregoing return and report and that the facts therein stated are true.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of March, 1917. (Signed) H. L. Standeven, County Judge. (Seal.)

"EXHIBIT 1" TO PETITION

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

IN THE COUNTY COURT

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of KUROKI TWIST, a Minor, and WILLIA V. TWIST, a Minor; LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, Guardian

[fol. 22] Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of WILLIAM G. TWIST, deceased; LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, Administratrix

Order of Confirmation

Now on this 13th day of March, 1917, the above matter coming on for hearing before the Court, and the guardian

and administratrix appearing in person, and the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, appearing by its attorneys, Rice & Lyon, and it appearing that heretofore your petitioner filed a petition praying for authority to enter into a stipulation with said corporation as prayed for in said petition, and the court having heard the petition, witnesses and statement of counsel, is advised in the premises, and finds:

First. That all notice of publication and posting has been duly waived, in writing, by the Hon. John P. Boyd, United States Probate Attorney, as appears more fully in the waiver of advertisement and notice on file in this office.

Second. That heretofore, on the 17th day of June, 1916, said guardian and administratrix entered into a stipulation with said corporation modifying the terms of a certain oil and gas mining lease upon the hereinafter described lands, and executed the same as such administratrix, and as the guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors, in consideration of the sum of Eighteen Thousand Dollars, ($18,000.00) duly paid, in consideration of which said oil and gas mining lease was extended for period so long as oil or gas is found in paying quantities, and as an additional consideration for such extension the royalty on oil produced from said premises from ten per cent to twelve and one half per cent and said corporation agreed to drill an additional well thereon within six months from said date.

Third. That it appears from the petition filed in connection therewith, that said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist are shown to be the only heirs of said William G. Twist; that in truth and fact, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minor children of said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and William G. Twist, deceased, should have joined in said proceedings; that said minor children, have participated and are now participating in the consideration paid by said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company for said extension of lease, and that each of them are entitled to, and are receiving an equal amount of said consideration together with said other heirs; that this petitioner did not join in said stipulation as guardian of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist through inadver-

tance; that a good and valuable consideration for such stipulation has been paid and is now in the hands of the petitioner for the benefit of said minors, and that it is beneficial and for the best interests of the estates of each [fol. 23] of said minors to permit said petitioner to execute said stipulation as guardian of said minors.

Wherefore it is ordered, considered and adjudged that said guardian be, and she hereby is, authorized and directed to make, execute and deliver, as guardian of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, a stipulation identical in form and substance with said stipulation executed on June 17, 1916, the consideration for same having been received on said date and now being held by the said guardian for the benefit of the estates of said minors, and that no further or other consideration is required to be paid by said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, to said guardian or to said minors.

It is further ordered, considered and adjudged that the court, having examined said stipulation, does hereby approve and confirm the same, and has further endorsed its approval thereon.

The premises herein referred to being described as follows, to-wit:

Lot Three (3) of Section Nineteen (19), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Thirteen (13) East, the North Fifteen and 28/100 acres of Lot Seven (7) of Section Fourteen (14), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East; the South Half (S. 2) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) and the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) and the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of Section Twenty four (24), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East, containing 80.69 acres, more or less, in Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Witness, the hand and seal of the court this 13th day of March, 1917.

(Signed) H. L. Standeven, County Judge. (Seal.)

Endorsements: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, March 13, 1917. Filed. Frank Ingraham, Court Clerk.

"Exhibit J" to Petition

Agreement Extending Term of Lease

This agreement, made this 16th day of June, 1916, by and between Albert T. Twist, a minor, by his guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Edward C. Twist, a minor, by his guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Jessie L. Twist, a minor, by her guardian, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Leoria L. Twist Weldy in her own behalf and A. D. Weldy, husband of [fol. 24] Leoria L. Twist Weldy, parties of the first part and the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, of Los Angeles, California, and transacting business at Tulsa, Oklahoma, party of the second part, Witnesseth:

That whereas, heretofore, on the 30th day of September, 1904, William G. Twist made, executed and delivered to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, a corporation, an oil and gas mining lease covering the following described lands, to-wit:

Lot Three (3) of Section Nineteen (19), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Thirteen (13) East; the North 15.28 acres of Lot Seven (7), Section Fourteen (14) Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East; the South Half (S. 2) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) and the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of Section Twenty four (24), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East, of the Indian Meridian, containing 80.69 acres, more or less,

situated in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, for a term of fifteen (15) years, and

Whereas, the said William G. Twist is dead, and left surviving him, as his sole heirs, the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, his widow, and the said Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, his minor children by the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and

Whereas, Leoria L. Twist Weldy, is now the duly appointed, qualified and acting guardian of said minors, and has secured the authority of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, the court having jurisdiction of the

guardianship proceedings, to enter into this agreement on behalf of said minors;

Now, therefore, in the consideration of the sum of Eight Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-six and 74/100 ($8,926.74) Dollars, in hand paid, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged to be distributed to the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, A. D. Weldy and to the said minors, Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, as their interest may appear in said above described lands, and as a further consideration, an increase in the amount of royalty accruing to the fee owners under said above described lease from ten (10%) per cent to twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent, and the drilling of a well on said tract within six (6) months from this date, it is agreed that the term of said lease shall be and is hereby extended for a period so long as oil and gas shall be produced from said land, and the provision in said lease providing for a royalty of ten (10%) per cent of the value on the leased premises of all crude oil extracted [fol. 25] from the said lands, be and the same is hereby, as of this date, increased to the amount of twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent of the value on the leased premises of all crude oil extracted from said lands.

It is understood that the remaining provisions of said lease are unchanged and shall remain in full force and effect for a period so long as oil or gas is produced on said premises.

(Signed) Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors. Leoria L. Twist Weldy, on her own behalf. A. D. Weldy, Husband of Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Parties of the First Part. Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, by Dan Murphy, President, Party of the Second Part.

Attest: G. H. Murphy, Jr. Secretary. (Corp. Seal.)

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Before me, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the said county and state, on this 17 day of June, 1916 personally appeared Leoria L. Twist Weldy, and A. D. Weldy, her husband, to me known to be the identical persons who

executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein set forth. E. F. Gester, Notary Public. My commission expires September 16, 1919. (Seal.)

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Before me, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the said county and state, on this 17th day of June, 1916, personally appeared Leoria L. Twist Weldy, guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors, to me known to be the identical person who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same as her free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein set forth and as her free and voluntary act and deed in the capacity therein stated. (Signed) E. F. Gester, Notary Public. My commission expires September 16, 1919. (Seal.)

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

Before me, the undersigned, a notary public in and for said county and state, on this 22nd day of June, 1916, per-
[fol. 26] sonally appeared Dan Murphy to me known to be the identical person who subscribed the name of the maker hereof to the foregoing instrument as its president and acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed, and as the free and voluntary act and deed of such corporation for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

(Signed) H. O. Smyser, Notary Pub. My commission expires June 4, 1917. (Seal.)

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

The extension of the term of the lease herein described for a period so long as oil or gas is found thereon is approved and confirmed in open court, this 16th day of June, 1916.

(Signed) John R. Ramsey, County Judge.

Filed for record Tulsa County, Okahoma, June 30, 1916, at 11:15 A. M. (Signed) Lewis Cline, County Clerk. (Seal.)

EXHIBIT "L" TO PETITION

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

IN THE COUNTY COURT

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of ALBERT T. TWIST, EDWARD C. TWIST, and JESSIE L. TWIST, Minors: LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, Guardian.

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of WILLIAM G. TWIST, Deceased; LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, ADMINISTRATRIX

Notice of the Sale of Extension of Oil & Gas Leases

Notice is hereby given in pursuance of an order of the County Court of the County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, made on the 5th day of June, 1916, the undersigned, guardian of the estate of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, minors; and as administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, will sell at public sale to the highest bidder, subject to confirmation by said court, on Friday, the 16th day of June, 1916, at 10:30 o'clock A. M. in the County Court room in the city of Tulsa, Oklahoma, an extension of an oil and gas mining lease, now covering the following described tracts of land in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, to wit:

Lease on the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, covering Lot 3 of Section 19, Township 20 North, Range 13 East, and North 15.28 acres of Lot 7 of Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East; and South Half of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter and Northeast Quarter of Southeast of Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 80.69 acres, extension of term to begin September 29, 1919.

[fol. 27] Lease of Albert T. Twist, a minor, on North Half of Northeast Quarter of Southeast Quarter and Southwest Quarter of Southeast Quarter of Northeast Quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 30 acres, more or less, to begin September 3rd, 1918.

Lease of Edward C. Twist, a minor, on north half of southeast quarter of northeast quarter and southeast quarter of southeast quarter of northeast quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, to begin March 21st, 1920.

Lease of Jessie L. Twist, a minor, on west half of southeast of southeast quarter and southeast quarter of southeast quarter of southeast quarter of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 13 East; and the south 15.25 acres of Lot 7 of Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, containing 80.69 acres, more or less, extension of term to begin December 7, 1918, at which time and at said place any person may appear to contest the sale of such extension or show cause why said lease or leases should not be extended from the date of the expiration of the present lease and leases for a period of so long *ad* oil or gas may or shall be produced from each and all of said leaseholds for oil and gas and the lands above described, and if any objections there be same to be filed in writing at the same time and place.

Said extension of said oil and gas leases now covering said lands will be sold on the following terms and conditions, to-wit: A bonus in cash, payment upon confirmation of sale of said leases covering said lands by the court.

Dated this 5th day of June, 1916.

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of the Estates of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist, and Jessie L. Twist, Minors, and Administratrix of the Estate of William G. Twist, Deceased.

Endorsements: County Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa, June 5, 1916. Filed. Frank Ingraham, County Clerk.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
County of Tulsa, ss:

IN THE COUNTY COURT

Probate No. 168

In the Matter of the Guardianship of ALBERT T. TWIST, EDWARD C. TWIST, and JESSIE L. TWIST, Minors; LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, Guardian.

Probate No. 167

In the Matter of the Estate of WILLIAM G. TWIST, Deceased; LEORIA L. TWIST WELDY, Administratrix

[fol. 28] Proof of Posting

L. G. Livesay, of lawful age, a resident of Tulsa, Tulsa County, Okla., being first duly sworn on his oath deposes and says: That he is not in any manner interested in the sale of the extension of any lease or leases for oil and gas mining purposes covering the lands described in the attached notice; that on the 5th day of June, 1916, pursuant to an order in the above matters duly signed by the County Judge of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, he posted a notice in three (3) different public places in Tulsa, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, a true and correct copy of which notices is hereto annexed, said notices being posted in the following places:

One on the bulletin board in the front of the Tulsa County Court House in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

One on a telephone pole at the northwest (N. W.) corner of Fifth and Main Streets, in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

One on a telephone poje in front of the post office on Fourth Street between Main Street and Boston Avenue, in Tulsa, Okahoma.

Dated this 5th day of June, 1916.

(Signed) G. L. Livesay.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5th day of June, 1916. E. F. Gesten, Notary Public. My commission expires Sept. 16, 1919. (Seal.)

(The foregoing exhibits are attached to the petition and which said petition is endorsed:) District Court, State of Oklahoma, County of Tulsa. Filed Aug. 3, 1922. Frances Harvey, Court Clerk.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[Title omitted]

In United States District Court

Answer—Filed September 27, 1922

Comes now the defendant, Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and for its answer to the petition of the plaintiffs, alleges and says:

That the allegations of said petition do not state facts sufficient in law or in equity, to entitle the plaintiffs to the relief prayed for, or to any relief.

The defendant admits the execution of the lease to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, set forth in the plain- [fol. 29] tiff's petition, and admits the assignment thereof, after its modification and extension, as alleged in said petition, by said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to this defendant, which assignment, it alleges, was made and executed March 5, 1917, but denies that said lease is not a present, valid and subsisting lease for a term so long as oil or gas is found upon the land covered thereby.

It admits that proceedings were had in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as set forth in said petition, but as to whether the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company caused said proceedings to be instituted, this defendant has no knowledge, and therefore denies the same, and this defendant also denies that the said proceedings for a modification and extension of said lease, and the said orders of the Court and the acts of the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy thereunder conferred no rights to the oil and gas under the lands covered by said lease beyond the original term thereof, as averred in said petition.

This defendant denies that the modification and extension of said lease was procured by the fraud of the Los

Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, but as to whether the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company made the statements, suggestions and misrepresentations alleged and set forth in said petition and as to whether the agreement between the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy and the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company directed and authorized by the County Court of Tulsa County, was the result of a prior understanding between the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy and the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, this defendant is without knowledge, but avers that if said statements, suggestions and representations were made, the same were insufficient in law to constitute fraud.

This defendant further answering, admits that on the 5th day of June, 1916, the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of the minor plaintiffs, and *as* administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, filed her petition in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as alleged in said petition, and in this connection the defendant alleges and shows to the Court that the said petition was set for hearing by the Court and the petitioner therein was required, by order of the Court, made on June 5, 1916, to give notice of her said application by posting the same in three (3) public places in said Tulsa County; that there was posted in Tulsa County, in three (3) public places therein, one being on the door of the court house in the City of Tulsa, in said County, a notice that in pursuance of an order of said County Court, the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as [fol. 30] guardian of Edward C. Twist, Albert T. Twist and Jennie L. Twist, and as administratrix of the estate William G. Twist, deceased, would sell to the highest bidder, subject to confirmation by the court, on Friday, June 16, 1916, in the County Court room in the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma, an extension of said oil and gas lease, for a bonus in cash, payable upon confirmation of the sale; a copy of which notice, with proof of posting, being attached to the plaintiffs' petition.

That the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company offered to pay for a modification and extension of said lease, the sum of Eight Thousand, Nine Hundred, Twenty-six and 74/100 Dollars ($8,926.74), and also agreed to increase the royalty prescribed in said lease from ten (10) per cent to twelve and a half (12½) per cent, and to drill a well upon said land

within six (6) months from the date of the acceptance of said offer and its confirmation by said court; that on the 16th day of June, 1916, the said County Court approved said offer and did thereupon authorize and direct the guardian to enter into a stipulation or agreement, extending the term of said lease for a period continuing so long as oil or gas should be found in said land, with which order and direction, the guardian duly complied, and the stipulation and agreement so entered into was approved and confirmed by the Court, by its order as set forth in plaintiff's petition and the exhibits thereto.

That afterwards, as alleged in said petition, the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy filed in said County Court, her petition as guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, alleging them to be the children of William G. Twist, deceased, and entitled to inherit a portion of his estate, and asking authority, on their behalf, to modify said lease, and to join in the agreement entered into with the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company in her own behalf and as guardian of Albert T. Twist, Jessie L. Twist and Edward C. Twist.

That upon the same day, the said County Court upon its appearing to it that the United States Probate Attorney had waived notice of hearing, made an order authorizing the guardian to execute a modification of said lease on behalf of her said wards, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, and to join in the agreement heretofore executed by her with the said Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, and that she thereupon did execute and join in such agreement, and which was, by the Court ratified and approved; all of which appears by the petition of the plaintiffs and the exhibits thereto.

Further answering, this defendant says that under its assignment of said lease from the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, it has taken possession of the lands [fol. 31] covered thereby, and admits that it has been operating the same and removing the oil and gas therefrom, but denies that it has taken oil and gas of the value set forth in the plaintiff's petition, and in this connection alleges and says that whether or not the modification and extension of said lease, is or was valid and binding upon the plaintiffs, this defendant, since it became the owner

of said modified and extended lease under its said assignment, has developed and operated the lands at its own expense, in good faith, and in the belief that it had a legal right so to do, and that if the Court should adjudicate and determine that said extension of the term of said lease was ineffective, the plaintiff is entitled only to the value of the oil and gas in place, and not its value after the same has been extracted from the land.

This defendant, further answering, says that since the plaintiffs, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, have reached their majority, there has been paid to them, and each of them, and they have accepted, their share of the royalties due and accruing under said lease, as modified and extended, and now retain the same, whereby they have ratified, confirmed, and adopted said agreement of modification and extension, and are now estopped to deny the same.

This defendant, further answering, says that at the time of its purchase of the assignment of said lease from the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, to-wit, on March 5, 1917, it paid to the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, more than One Thousand Dollars ($1,000.00); that said consideration was bona fide and actually paid; that at the time of paying the consideration for such assignment and transfer, and at the time of the delivery thereof, this defendant had no notice or knowledg of the various transactions and representations alleged and set forth in plaintiffs' petition between its assignor and the plaintiffs' guardian, and had no notice or knowledge of any proceedings or transactions relative to the modification and extension of said lease other than as shown by the records of the proceedings in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, but avers that its purchase of said lease, and the payment of the consideration therefor, was in reliance upon the apparent legal title of the said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, as shown by the public records.

Wherefore, the defendant prays:

1. That after due and proper hearing, the plaintiff's petition be dismissed for want of equity.

2. That the Court, by its decree, declare and determine that the defendant's title to said oil and gas lease, as modified and extended, is good, valid and subsisting as against

[fol. 32] and claim of the plaintiff, and that its title thereto be quieted as against said claims.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, West, Sherman, Davidson and Moore, Attorneys for Defendant.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Reply—Filed November 4, 1922

Replying to the Answer of the defendant herein filed, the plaintiffs allege:

(1) They deny that the assignment of the lease in controversy from the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to the defendant, was executed March 5, 1917; but allege that, in truth and in fact, the defendant and the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company agreed and contracted for the sale of said property prior to the date when the County Court attempted to approve the stipulation involved herein; and deny that the defendant is a purchaser in good faith of the said assignment from the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, and deny that they had no notice of the matters and things pertaining to the fraud practiced upon the guardian of the minor wards herein.

(2) Plaintiffs further admit that the said Leoria L. Twist Weldy, guardian, did file in the County Court her petition as guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, asking for authority on their behalf to modify the lease theretofore entered into with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, and that, upon the same day, the County Court, without notice, entered an order authorizing the guardian to execute a modifying stipulation of said lease on behalf of said minor wards. And plaintiffs aver that said order was beyond the jurisdiction of the said court to enter, that it was without any consideration whatever moving to the said wards Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist; that the said order was entered without observation of Rule 9 of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, theretofore promulgated for the protection of minors and their estates, as referred to in the petition herein.

(3) Plaintiffs admit that the plaintiffs Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Twist have arrived at their majority, and [fol. 33] have, since arriving at their majority, accepted from time to time one-eighth (1/8) of the oil run by the defendant, which said sums were voluntarily paid by the defendant to the said plaintiffs, but deny that they have ever ratified, confirmed or adopted said agreement of modification and extension, and deny that they are now estopped to assert the invalidity of said extension agreement.

(4) Further replying, plaintiffs aver that, at the date of the execution of said extension agreement referred to, to-wit: on the 16th day of June, 1916, the property involved herein was producing oil and gas; that the modification or extension of any lease thereon was, at said date, not of the nature of a chattel real, but that the effect and purpose of the extension agreement so executed was to sell and convey a known and described portion of the corpus of the real estate belonging to the minor wards under the jurisdiction of said County Court of Tulsa County; that the said extension constituted a sale in futuro of the seven-eighths (7/8) of the oil and gas in place; and, as such sale of real estate, was without any attempt to comply with the laws of the State of Oklahoma relating to the sale of real estate of minors and without any petition alleging grounds sufficient to give the said County Court jurisdiction to sell the real estate of minors, the said sale of a modification or extension of said lease is wholly and utterly void and of no force and effect, and the Court had no jurisdiction to order the sale thereof.

Wherefore plaintiffs pray judgment of this Court.

Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Decree—Filed May 24, 1923

Be it remembered that this cause came on to be heard at a former term of this Court and was argued by counsel and taken under advisement by the Court.

Now, this 27th day of April, 1923, this cause came on for further hearing, and it appearing that the plaintiff Edward C. Twist has since the former hearing become of legal age, he is substituted as party plaintiff.

And the Court now being fully advised in the premises, finds the issues, and concludes, in favor of the defendant as to all the plaintiffs except Kuroki Twist and Willie V. [fol. 34] Twist. It is, therefore, ordered, adjudged and decreed that defendant is the owner of an oil and gas mining lease covering an undivided eleven fifteenths (11/15) interest in the following lands, to wit:

Lot Three (3), Section Nineteen (19), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Thirteen (13) East; the North 15.28 acres of Lot Seven (7), Section Fourteen (14), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East; the South Half (S. 2) of the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) and the Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of Section Twenty four (24), Township Twenty (20) North, Range Twelve (12) East, in Tulsa County, Oklahoma;

extending for a period so long as oil or gas is produced from said lands, and that its title thereto is hereby quieted and confirmed against the claims of the plaintiffs, to all of which the plaintiffs except, which exceptions are allowed, and in open court give notice of appeal.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist are the owners of an undivided four fifteenths (4/15) interest in said lands, and that their title to said Four fifteenths (4/15) interest is hereby quieted and confirmed against the claims of the defendant under its said lease.

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs Kuroki Twist and Willie C. Twist have and recover of and from the defendant four fifteenths (4/15) of the net proceeds of the oil and gas produced from said land & which is is agreed to in open court since September 29, 1919, to April 1, 1923, to-wit: the sum of (6,667.78) Sixty Six Hundred & Sixty Seven & 78 Dollars, the amount produced since April 1st, 1923, reserved for further consideration.

To all of which the defendant excepts, which exceptions are allowed, and in open court gives notice of appeal.

Done at Muskogee, Oklahoma, this 27th day of April, 1923.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

O K as to form. Linebaugh & Pinson, for Plffs.

[fol. 35] In United States District Court

Statement of Evidence—Filed August 13, 1923

Be it remembered that on this the 28th day of November, 1922, the above entitled cause came on for trial at Tulsa, Oklahoma, before Honorable R. L. Williams, United States District Judge, and the following proceedings were had:

Appearances: Linebaugh & Pinson and J. S. Watson, Esq., for Plaintiffs: A. A. Davidson, Esq., for Defendant.

Stipulation re Facts

Mr. Davidson: It is agreed that the Prairie Oil & Gas Company is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Kansas, is a citizen of that state, and that the defendants are citizens of Oklahoma and the Eastern Judicial District thereof; that Leoria L. Twist Welty is the widow of William G. Twist; that Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, and Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, both adults, are the children of Leoria L. Twist and William G. Twist, and that the said Leoria L. Twist Welty, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist, Willie V. Twist, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, are the only heirs of William G. Twist, deceased; that each of the plaintiffs are duly enrolled citizens of the Cherokee Nation of one-quarter Indian blood, except the two younger Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist who are not enrolled Cherokees having been born too late; that William G. Twist, deceased, was an enrolled Cherokee Indian and as such received as his allotment the lands set up in the petition in this case, and that he died intestate seized of said land on or about January 2, 1907; that on September 30, 1904,

William G. Twist was appointed guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist by the United States Court for Indian Territory, Northern District, and that in April, 1907, the cause was transferred to the Western District of the United States Court at Tulsa, Oklahoma, and that in June, 1907, Leoria L. Twist Welty was appointed guardian as successor of William G. Twist, deceased; that on September 30, 1904, William G. Twist executed to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company an oil and gas mining lease, a true copy of which is submitted at this time, and that said lease was approved by the Secretary of the Interior and was for a period of fifteen years from its date and provided for a royalty of 10 % of the oil.

COLLOQUY BETWEEN COURT AND COUNSEL.

The Court: Now, just tell me what is involved in this case, I like to see what the statement of facts cover.

[fol. 36] Mr. Davidson: Here is what is involved in this case, the law point is this: That these original leases expired at a certain time and they were later extended by proceedings in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in 1916. The Prairie Oil & Gas Company took an assignment of these extended leases. Now, it is claimed those extensions were void because the County Court had no authority to make them.

The Court: Why?

Mr. Linebaugh: For two reasons, one is because there was a failure to comply with the state law as embodied in the statute and the rules of the Supreme Court with particular reference to Rule 9; and, 2nd, because it was undertaken to be made by the probate court.

The Court: Undertaken to do what?

Mr. Linebaugh: Be made by the probate court. That is there were three separate minors their separate individual allotments and the allotments of a deceased person involved, the minors acting through their guardian and the deceased person by his administrator, the same person acting as guardian and administrator and one petition was filed, one sale had and one price submitted, a lump sum submitted for the four separate properties without separate appraisements and without separate sales of the four separate properties.

The Court: Hasn't the Supreme Court held that the laws relative to the sale of real estate applied to these leases?

Mr. Linebaugh: They have not held that but the Jackson Carroll case holds, as we understand, that where a sale of separate properties of minors is in a bunch for a lump sum it is void.

The Court: That was sale of real estate. The way I take it the Duff Keaton case that was decided way back in 1911, and the legislature never passed any additional statute and I take it that they acquiesced in that construction of the law and that people have expended their money and invested their money on that construction that they have rights that the courts ought not to disturb.

Mr. Linebaugh: Your honor, one other fact that at the time this sale was made the properties sold were producing properties, there had already been wells drilled——

The Court: No question of fraud here?

Mr. Pinson: Yes, sir.

Mr. Linebaugh: It was a producing property.

[fol. 37] The Court: Are you going to offer evidence here to show that there wasn't an adequate consideration?

Mr. Linebaugh: Yes, sir; we will show that the sale was for a grossly inadequate consideration.

Mr. Davidson: That question is precluded by the judgment of the County Court.

The Court: They might prove fraud. If they show a grossly inadequate consideration that might be fraud.

Mr. Davidson: This now is a suit in equity. The question of the sufficiency of the consideration was passed on.

The Court: Well, we will see about that. I don't look with favor on an attack of these judgments where there was a proper consideration, but go ahead.

Mr. Davidson: That after the death of William G. Twist proceedings were had in the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, the said proceedings being evidenced by the following: petition of the guardian filed June 10, 1916, order of the County Court setting the petition for hearing, notice of hearing and proof of posting——

The Court: Now, which are the minors?

Mr. Davidson: Edward, Kuroki and Willie; Albert and Jessie are adults.

The Court: Which suit involves the minors?

Mr. Davidson: No. 2929.

The Court: Now, what is the action for the adults?

Mr. Davidson: They are seeking the same thing exactly.

The Court: Did they join in the lease?

Mr. Pinson: They were minors at the time of the extension.

The Court: Jessie and Albert?

Mr. Pinson: Yes, sir.

The Court: Very well.

Mr. Davidson: The order of the court of June 16, 1916, and the stipulation and agreement between the guardian and Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, all of which was set forth in the various petitions in this case as exhibits. And that further probate proceedings were had in the County Court relative to Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, namely petition of their guardian of March 14, 1917, and the order of court thereon, report of guardian and the order of the court on the guardian's report, and also the same [fol. 38] stipulation between the guardian and Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, all of which is set out as exhibits in these petitions.

If it becomes material on the trial of this case we will admit that the lands described were producing oil to the amounts as shown by the guardian's petition filed in the County Court for authority to execute the extensions.

It is further agreed that on March 5, 1917, the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company assigned these leases to the Prairie Oil & Gas Company. We have photographic copies of the assignment which we will file as evidence in the case. We will admit that the Prairie Oil & Gas Company has been in possession and taking seven-eighths of the oil from the leases since January 15, 1917. Admit that the original cost of the William G. Twist lease, that is the amount paid for that lease by the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, was $112,561.62, and that since January 15, 1917, the Prairie Oil & Gas Company has expended in developing and operating the lease $46,970.98, which includes an item of overhead and supervision of $14,502.86, and that oil to the value of $188,630.36 has been taken from the land since January 15, 1917, up to September 30, 1922.

It is further agreed that the guardian of these five minors executed the usual division order providing for the distri-

bution of the proceeds of the oil taken from the land, originals of which are submitted with leave to withdraw the same and file photographic copies, and that since Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, have become of age—that Albert T. Twist became of age September 4, 1918, and that Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, became of age December 8, 1917, and that since becoming of age they have executed similar division orders and each of them has received from the Prairie Oil & Gas Company their respective shares of the proceeds of the oil according to the division orders so filed by them; the shares of each of the parties in the William G. Twist lease being: Leoria L. Twist, as widow, one-third of the eighth royalty or 1/24, and each of the five children 1/5 of the 2/3 of the 8th or 1/60 each, and that payment of these royalties was made by check of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, the form of the check being as shown by photographic copies thereof which will be filed as evidence in this case. That Albert T. Twist has received as his 1/60 the sum of $2,338.04 and that Jessie L. Payne has received as her 1/60 $2,336.65.

[fol. 39] The Court: Did these adults receive their royalty after they became of age? Why wouldn't that be a ratification?

Mr. Davidson: We plead that.

The Court: Why is that not recognizing the validity of the contract by receiving the royalty?

Mr. Pinson: Because it is merely a part of what they should have received anyhow. This is a contract which upon its face——

The Court: A contract made by a minor during his minority he can elect to continue after he becomes of age.

Mr. Pinson: I don't think so, your honor. We want to be heard upon that proposition.

The Court: Very well.

Mr. Davidson: We also agree, if it becomes material to offer such evidence, that the market value of crude oil in the Mid-Continent Field on June 16, 1916, was $1.35 a barrel. It is further agreed, if it becomes material to offer the evidence, that Dana H. Kelsey, if present and we concede he is unavoidably absent, as a witness would testify that he acted for the Prairie Oil & Gas Company in negotiating the purchase—in connection with Fred S. Cook—acted for the

Prairie Oil & Gas Company in conducting the negotiations for the purchase from the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company of these Twist leases, together with a large number of other leases owned by the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, and that there was paid for said leases by the Prairie Oil & Gas Company the following sums: for the William G. Twist lease $112,561.61; for the Albert T. Twist lease $45,556.87; for the Jessie L. Twist lease $43,870.39; and for the Edward C. Twist lease $49,377.38; and that at the time of completing such purchase and the payment of said moneys and the taking of the assignments he had no notice or knowledge of the transactions alleged by the petitions to have occurred or taken place between the officers or agents of the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company and Leoria L. Twist, guardian, in connection with the agreement to extend the leases, but that he purchased these leases on behalf of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company subject to an examination of the abstracts furnished the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and that in such transaction H. B. Anderson and a man by the name of Pitt represented the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, and that neither of said persons referred to or informed him concerning any matters, conversations or transactions between the Los Angeles-Chero- [fol. 40] kee Oil Company and its representatives and Leoria L. Twist Welty.

It is also agreed that Albert T. Twist had an allotment of his own as described in the petition and that an oil and gas lease was made by his then guardian on March 22, 1905, which was for a term of 13 years, 5 months and 12 days, a copy of this lease, as well as the other leases, will be filed as evidence in this case; that this lease is embraced in the proceedings of the County Court of Tulsa County and was one of the leases assigned to the Prairie Oil & Gas Company. That there has been expended by the Prairie Oil & Gas Company in the development and operation of this lease since it took charge $43,344.54, which includes an overhead charge or cost of supervision of $8,072.86; and that the value of oil taken from that land up to September 30, 1922, was $87,567.58.

That as to the Jessie L. Twist lands she had an allotment of her own and that was leased in the same way and at the same time as the allotment of Albert T. Twist, and that the Prairie Oil & Gas Company has expended for development

and operation on that lease $16,053.51, which includes an overhead charge of $5,447.64.

That Edward C. Twist had also an allotment of his own which was leased at the same time and to the same lessee; that the oil produced from the Jessie L. Twist land up to September 30, 1922, is $65,233.01; that the amount of money expended on the Edward C. Twist lease was $38,240.59, which includes an overhead charge of $7,965.27, and that the oil produced from that lease up to September 30, 1922, was $80,055.71.

Plaintiffs have asked us to agree and we do agree, if it is material to be considered, that at the time that the Prairie Oil & Gas Company paid the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company for these leases, to-wit, $251,266.21, which was in February, 1917, the daily production from these four leases was 104.81 barrels, and that the daily production at the present time is 65.56 barrels.

The Court: You have made no development since 1917?

Mr. Davidson: Oh, yes; we have drilled two wells and invested these amounts which we have stipulated.

The Court: Have you drilled any new wells since any of these minors became of age?

Mr. Davidson: No, I don't think so. Let me see. There was a well drilled on the Albert T. Twist allotment which [fol. 41] was completed October 5, 1919, which was after he became of age.

The Court: When did he become of age?

Mr. Pinson: September 18th.

The Court: September, 1918. Now, when was the first payment of royalty made to him after he was of age?

Mr. Davidson: His division order which he signed himself as an adult is dated November 1, 1918, and the first payment was made under that division order.

The Court: How near does he live to this lease?

Mr. Pinson: I frankly don't know.

Mr. Davidson: The lease is in this county and he lives here.

Mr. Pinson: He will be on the stand.

The Court: Very well.

Mr. Linebaugh: Would your honor care for a brief statement of the plaintiffs' theory elaborating on these exhibits?

The Court: Very well.

Mr. Linebaugh: I desire particularly to call the court's attention to the several exhibits attached to plaintiff's petition, which, under the agreement just dictated into the record, are incorporated and made a part of the record in the case as well as being attached to the petitions. The petition filed in the County Court of Tulsa County for the sale of this so-called extension lease is filed in one petition, filed——

The Court: Filed in one petition but does it recite all the heirs, set their names out? As far as I am concerned I am not going to extend the doctrine. The court held a long time ago that an oil and gas lease was not the character of an instrument that it required it to be complied with the sale of real estate. The legislature of the state never changed that; they permitted that to stand there year after year. Now, people that would invest on those decisions, there were two of them—I tried a case down at Ardmore the other day involving half a million dollars where they tried to strike down an oil and gas lease just like this; I decided in favor of the defendant. Now, unless there is fraud I am not going to disturb this thing. The burden is on you.

Mr. Linebaugh: The record now shows that this property was sold, this extension was sold on June 16, 1916, it was then producing 120 barrels a day.

[fol. 42] The Court: How much did you get for it?

Mr. Linebaugh: $18,000.00. In February following in seven months the production having decreased to 104 barrels, it sold for $251,000.00, when there was a decrease in production, so we think that is so grossly inadequate——

The Court: If they were fair on their face wouldn't they be innocent purchasers? They didn't have knowledge of fraud? Who was the guardian?

Mr. Linebaugh: Mrs. Welty, the mother of the children.

The Court: You mean then she ought to have a guardian.

Mr. Linebaugh: The evidence probably will develop the unfortunate situation but our view is that when the Prairie went to buy this property in February, 1917, and paid therefor $251,000.00 when the production had decreased the record showed to them that it had been sold for $18,000.00 that is such an inadequate consideration as to put them on inquiry, and if that inquiry had been pursued by them the

fraud practiced upon the guardian would have been disclosed. And we call the court's attention to the petition to show that the irregularities which they would have necessarily have known appear on the face.

The Court: Now, what are the irregularities?

Mr. Linebaugh: The first one which I desire to call the court's attention to is the fact that an extension lease was sold on the allotment of the deceased father whilst two of the heirs were not included as petitioners, and a petition for the sale of the interests of those two heirs was not filed until after the Prairie Oil & Gas Company had taken that and then without any additional consideration or without any notice of any character whatsoever being given to any person. Furthermore that in the petition no effort was made, except so far as a co-called notice was posted, to comply with Rule 9 of the Supreme Court Rules. Furthermore, that no order of sale was ever entered or made by the County Court of Tulsa County responsive to this petition. All of those matters appearing upon the face of the petition and several orders made by the County Court. The pleadings further show that the petition recites upon its fact a prior agreement entered into with the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to sell to it for a fixed consideration therein set forth and agreed upon. No order of sale was entered by the County Court, none made, and the [fol. 43] papers filed with the County Court show that no competitive bidding was had, no public sale was made but merely a sale made responsive to a prior agreement made between the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company and the guardian. All of those matters appearing upon the face of the petition and the orders entered by the County Court. Now, we feel that those irregularities taken in connection with the grossly inadequate consideration should have put the Prairie upon notice.

Mr. Davidson: If the court please, I think it would be of interest to have these probate proceedings read. I would like to read those.

Mr. Linebaugh: I will read them, we are offering them.

The Court: If you will pass them up here I will read them.

LEORIA L. WELDY produced, sworn and examined as a witness for and on behalf of the plaintiffs, testified as follows:

Direct examination by Mr. Linebaugh:

Q. You may state your name.
A. My name is Leoria L. Weldy, nee Twist.
Q. You live here in Tulsa?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You were formerly the wife of Mr. William G. Twist?
A. I was.
Q. He was a citizen of the Cherokee Nation or tribe of Indians, was he?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know Albert T., Edward C., Jessie L., Kuroki and Willie V. Twist?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are they your children?
A. Yes, sir; they are my children.
Q. The allotments of your husband and the first three children I just named were leased for oil and gas purposes to the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, were they?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know whether those leases were what is commonly known as commercial leases or departmental leases?
A. They were departmental leases.
Q. Do you recall the time of their execution?

Mr. Davidson: That is all stipulated.

Q. At the time of their execution your husband was living, that is, Mr. Twist?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. After his death you became the guardian of these several children?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And also administrator of your husband's estate did you?
A. Yes, sir.
[fol. 44] Q. The Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company operated this property and produced oil from it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And each of the tracts did they?
A. Yes, sir.

Q. After the period of operation was any inquiry made of you with regard to changing the form of the leases?

A. There was.

Q. When and by whom?

A. Well, at different times, by Mr. Pitt, also Mr. Anderson at one time.

Q. Mr. Pitt and Mr. Anderson to whom you refer were gentlemen associated with the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company?

A. They were; they belonged to the company, stockholders.

Q. Do you remember how long before certain court proceedings were instituted they first begun to talk with you about the extension of these leases or the changed form of the leases?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial to any issue.

The Court: I will let it go in the record.

Mr. Davidson: Exception.

A. Well, it was in 1909, the first time they ever named it to me.

The Court: Who was that named it to you?

A. Mr. Pitt and Mr. Anderson were both together.

The Court: Who is Mr. Pitt?

A. He was a stockholder and foreman or something for the Prairie people.

Q. He had charge?

A. Yes, sir.

Mr. Davidson: It surely couldn't be material back as far as 1909.

The Court: No.

Q. Just prior to the institution of the court proceedings did Mr. Pitt or Mr. Anderson on behalf of the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company talk to you in regard to the changing of the form of the leases?

A. Yes, sir; Mr. Pitt did several different times for the last year or two before we did change.

The Court: Mr. Linebaugh, she signed a petition here which sets out these facts. I don't see the relevancy of any conversation she had with them.

Mr. Linebaugh: Well, to show why she filed the petition.

[fol. 45] Q. You filed a petition with the County Court of Tulsa County asking that the form of these leases be changed or the terms be changed?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Who prepared that petition?

A. Well, I think Mr. Rice & Lyons. I am not sure. The Los Angeles Cherokee had them prepared themselves.

Q. Where were you when you signed the petition if you remember?

A. I think I was at home.

Q. Who brought the petition to your home?

A. Mr. Pitt.

Q. Why did you sign the petition?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that.

The Court: I will see what her answer is.

A. Well, there was various reasons.

Q. Tell the court what those were.

A. Well, kind of fear, to be positive.

The Court: Fear?

Q. Fear of what?

A. Well, I had been getting letters from different oil companies around me——

The Court: Oh, well; I will exclude that.

Mr. Linebaugh: I think probably this will be of some importance, your honor.

The Court: Why?

Mr. Linebaugh: The fact that she had received letters saying that they were going to put vacuums on wells adjacent to her property. Mr. Pitt says if vacuums are put on we will have to put vacuums on and that will draw all the oil out very quickly and you had better take this $18,000.00. But we will show immediately after she filed this petition those letters stopped coming and no vacuum was put on.

The Court: I will let it go in and hold against you on it.

Mr. Linebaugh: That is merely one of the circumstances.

The Court: If that is all you have got.

Mr. Linebaugh: No, that isn't all, your honor.

The Court: That is a reflection on her as guardian. Who was your attorney, Madam?

A. I didn't have any to represent me that time, they done all the work themselves. I was in very poor health. I had had one but I didn't have any right at that time because they said it wasn't necessary, they would fix the papers all themselves.

[fol. 46] Mr. Davidson: Did Mr. L. J. Martin represent you?

A. No, not at that time.

Mr. Linebaugh: We will offer to prove——

The Court: Don't offer to prove but put it in there.

Q. You may go ahead with the statements, if any, that were made——

The Court: Statements made by Mr. Pitt. The letters are the best evidence of what they contained.

Q. What statements Mrs. Weldy, if any, did Mr. Pitt or Mr. Anderson make to you at the time they were discussing with you the question of changing the form of the leases with regard to the installation of vacuums on the wells?

Mr. Davidson: We object as to what Mr. Pitt says. Her evidence is Mr. Pitt was foreman.

The Court: She testifies Mr. Pitt came to her to get her to sign the extension. If he made any negotiations that would be evidence that he was acting for his company.

Mr. Linebaugh: You may answer.

A. Well, he said that if the other companies put those vacuums in they would soon kill the life of the wells, and also when he was wanting me——

The Court: Now, let me ask you a question. If you didn't extend the leases you would get the wells back, wouldn't you?

A. Certainly.

The Court: Then you could have had vacuums put on or made arrangements?

A. Yes, but if they had put them on they would have killed those wells before we got them back.

The Court: They would have forfeited the leases if they hadn't.

Mr. Linebaugh: Those are facts, we know, but she didn't.

The Court: Yes, but it was her business to go to her

counsel. If you are going to have such clap-trap as that, then no contract is sacred.

Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Pitt tell you would be the effect of placing vacuums upon the wells?

Mr. Davidson: We object to all this.

The Court: I am going to let them put it in and hold against them.

A. They said it would suck all the oil out of the ground. He said if we don't the other companies will get the oil.

[fol. 47] The Court: That is no false statement. That is a fact. She had her rights. If they failed to produce the oil she could go ahead and have it cancelled.

Q. Mrs. Weldy, at that time were you having any difficulty with reference to your bond as guardian?

A. Well, I was under surety bond which was costing me quite a little bit.

Q. What statements, if any, with regard to your bond did Mr. Pitt or Mr. Anderson make to you during the period of these negotiations for the change of the terms?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that.

The Court: I sustain the objection. The Prairie Oil & Gas Company couldn't have notice of such as that.

Mr. Pinson: The burden would be on the defendant to prove good faith.

The Court: No, not in that.

Mr. Linebaugh: We will offer to prove by this witness that during this negotiation Mr. Pitt and Mr. Anderson discussed with her the question of her bond and inability to continue it and avowed their willingness to make her bond so that she could retain the guardianship for such amounts as would be necessary, providing she would consent to, accede to their request to sign the petition for the extension of the leases, and subsequently they did in fact make her bond——

The Court: Suppose they did.

Mr. Linebaugh: Becoming surety and that that was—well, I am making an offer—and such bond with Anderson and Pitt as sureties was filed in the County Court of Tulsa County and was of record at the time of the purchase by the Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

The Court: Suppose they did go on her bond, what effect would that have? How could that constitute fraud?

Mr. Linebaugh: It would constitute fraud taken with the other circumstances it seems to us.

The Court: I exclude that evidence. She says she had difficulty with her bond and they said they would help her make it. What is wrong about that?

Mr. Linebaugh: They are using that as an inducement, saying we will agree to make your bond providing you will do this for us.

The Court: No, I don't think that is competent.

Mr. Linebaugh: We except.

Q. Mrs. Weldy——

[fol. 48] The Court: I will see what she has to say. You say Mr. Pitt come to you about signing the petition?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: What did he say to you?

A. Well, of course, he asked me if I wouldn't make a commercial lease, is what he called it; he didn't say anything about a transfer. I told him "Mr. Pitt, I don't care to because while I am under the departmental lease we have the protection of the department." He says "You have the protection of the whole state under a commercial." I says that wouldn't be much when I had it, no, I don't feel like making that. He made the remark "You have always done the best for your children in every way." He says "Do you think by refusing this offer that you are still doing right by your children?" And he says, "Do you think that the court will think that you are doing right?" Of course, I studied about it and I told him I didn't know what the court would think. He says "You know there have been a good many guardian seeker's eyes on your minors all the time." And of course, when he made that remark it throwed a kind of fear over me that they might try to take the guardianship away from me. That is one of the things that caused me——

The Court: Never mind. What else was said?

A. I don't remember every detail. I told him I didn't like to accept it but of course I did. He went up and got the papers.

The Court: He told you he would give $18,000.00 for a new lease, is that what he said?

A. For a commercial lease.

The Court: Well, that would be a new lease. Then you signed this application a copy of which has been offered here?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: Go ahead.

Q. What statements, if any, did he make to you with reference to the value of the property?

The Court: Don't make suggestions.

A. Well, I told him I didn't think it was enough. He says "Sure it is enough, it is really more than the leases are worth." And then is when he come over it again. He says "Do you suppose that the court will think that you are still doing the best for your children if you refuse that?" And that is about all of it that I can remember.

Q. Did you subsequently after filing the petition go to the County Court room in Tulsa?

A. Yes, sir.

[fol. 49] Q. Who, if any person, accompanied you?

A. Mr. Pitt come and taken me in his car.

Q. Was anyone with Mr. Pitt or did just the two of you go?

A. I won't be positive, but there was no one went up to the court house with us; I think the other parties were already there.

Q. What other parties?

A. There was one attorney there and I don't know whether it was Rice or Lyons because I was not acquainted with them at the time.

Q. When you got to the court room what happened?

A. Well, Mr. Pitt taken the papers up to the judge.

The Court: You mean he had the papers with him?

A. He had papers with him.

The Court: Why, the petition you filed was in court, wasn't it?

A. He went up and talked to the judge a few minutes.

Mr. Linebaugh: This was on the day of the confirmation, your honor.

The Court: Yes, but the petition she signed would be a part of the court files.

Q. Were you ever in the County Court room concerning this matter except this one time?

A. No, sir.

The Court: You were there when they had the hearing and the judge determined to permit you to make a commercial lease; were you present then?

A. I think the papers were signed at my own house and taken up by them.

The Court: They had been signed but on the day of the hearing you appeared in court and the court granted you authority to make a new lease?

A. It was all done at the same time.

The Court: That was the time you appeared before the court, wasn't it?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: Judge Ramsey?

A. Yes, sir; Judge Ramsey.

The Court: And Judge Ramsey talked to you about that?

A. Very little. He asked me if I thought I was doing for the best interest of my wards. I told him I did owing to the conditions surrounding. He said "I am satisfied that you are, you always have done such." And he says "I in my own mind think it is best." And that is about all that [fol. 50] occurred in the court room that day.

Q. Had you signed the papers at that time?

The Court: When did you sign the new lease, there in the court that day?

A. I won't be positive whether I signed it there. I know we went on up to their attorney's office and there was some papers signed there.

Q. Was there any evidence taken in the court room, that is were any witnesses sworn and their testimony taken?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were you sworn and your testimony taken or just these questions asked you at the judge's bench; was there any auction sale conducted in the court room?

A. No, sir; not while I was there.

The Court: Well, do you know whether there was any other evidence taken before the judge?

A. There was none taken while I was there. There were no questions asked any one else while I was there.

Q. Did the judge sign the order while you were standing at his bench there?

A. Well, the judge signed papers right there.

Q. While you were standing at the desk?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And then you think you went up to Rice & Lyon's office?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And possibly signed some papers up there?

A. I know I signed something, some papers.

Q. Now, was there any auction sale while you were standing there at the judge's desk?

A. No, sir.

Q. Or any bidding while you were in the court room?

A. No, sir; there was nothing said to that effect.

Q. Nobody asked if anybody wanted to bid?

A. No, sir.

Q. How long were you in the court room altogether?

A. Well, we wasn't there very long. Of course, there were one or two parties ahead of us to see the judge and we had to wait until they were through. I don't think we were in there over thirty minutes at the outside.

Q. After the judge signed the paper at his desk how long did you remain in the court room?

A. Just a few minutes.

Q. Who went out with you?

A. Mr. Pitt and the two attorneys.

Q. Was that the only time you were up in the County Court room concerning the sale of this commercial lease as you term it or extension lease?

A. It was.

Q. Now, had you had any conversation with your son Albert T., with regard to the guardianship?

A. Yes, sir; we talked it over several times.

[fol. 51] Q. Before you signed the petition?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What statements, if any, did he make to you——

The Court: Never mind about that. Do you object to that?

Mr. Davidson: Yes, sir; we object to all this.

The Court: I sustain the objection to that.

Mr. Linebaugh: Will the court permit me to finish my question so as to make my offer?

The Court: No, that is not the rule in the Federal Court.

Mr. Linebaugh: I will ask it this way if I may, your honor:

Q. Did your son Albert T., tell you of certain statements which Mr. Pitt had made to him concerning your guardianship?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that.

The Court: I sustain the objection.

Mr. Linebaugh: We except.

Q. Mrs. Weldy, the $18,000.00 offer which Mr. Pitt made what was that for?

A. Well, it was for the making of a commercial lease, he said.

Q. On what properties?

A. On the W. G., Albert T., Jessie L. and Edward C.

Q. That was four separate allotments were they?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: He said he would pay as much as $18,000 for a commercial lease on these allotments, that is what he meant by an offer, isn't it?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Now, who if you know made—if there was any division of that $18,000.00 made as applying to each of the separate allotments who made it?

Mr. Davidson: If the court please we object to that.

The Court: Yes, I sustain the objection. The record shows what was done.

Q. Who, if any person—well, I will ask you this: prior to the petition being brought to you for your signature by Mr. Pitt was the method of division and amount of the division of the $18,000.00 discussed and agreed upon between you and Mr. Pitt?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that, wholly incompetent

The Court: I sustain the objection.

[fol. 52] Q. Was any separate price ever suggested by Mr. Pitt or agreed upon between the two of you as to the separate allotments?

A. No, sir.

61

Mr. Davidson: We object to that.
The Court: I sustain the objection.
Mr. Linebaugh: Exception. That is all.

Cross-examination by Mr. Davidson:

Q. You say you had no lawyer?
A. I didn't have any one representing me at that time.
Q. Did you have a man named Robertie?
A. I didn't at that time.
Q. You didn't go to see him?
A. No, sir.
Q. He was your attorney?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Didn't you employ L. J. Martin?
A. Not in this.
Q. Didn't L. J. Martin go up to court with you the time Judge Ramsey had this hearing?
A. If he did I do not remember it.
Q. You never employed Mr. Martin?
A. Mr. Martin did do work for me.
Q. When, after that or before that?
A. Well, before and some after that. He made the final reports for the two children when they became of age.
Q. Do you say now you didn't go with Robertie or Martin?
A. No, sir.
Q. You didn't go to any attorney at all?
A. Mr. Pitt came down and we had the talk and he said it won't be necessary for you to pay an attorney fee.

Mr. Linebaugh: Won't you let her complete her answer?
The Court: I exclude that because it is not responsive to the question.

Q. You signed this petition and swore to it didn't you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Over six years ago?
A. Well, it was when the lease was being made.
Q. And there has been nothing to prevent your coming to court with this matter within the last six years?
A. The children, when they became of age, took it up.
Q. But you still represent three minor children?
A. I do.

Q. You have an interest in William G. Twist's land as his widow?

A. I have a third interest.

Q. And you are getting your share of the one-eighth royalty from that lease?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And have been all the time?

A. Yes, sir.

[fol. 53] Q. And you have filed no suit for yourself?

A. No, I have filed no suit.

Mr. Davidson: I believe that is all.

Redirect examination by Mr. Linebaugh:

Q. Mrs. Weldy, why didn't you get an attorney in these proceedings?

A. Well, I don't know, only just I didn't and Mr. Pitt said he didn't think it would be necessary, they would take care of that.

The Court: He told you that he had an attorney?

A. He didn't come right out and say that; he just said they would take care of that.

The Court: Said they would have the papers fixed up?

A. Yes, sir.

Recross examination by Mr. Davidson:

There was an agreement there wasn't there that this royalty was to be increased from 10% to 12½%?

A. There was.

Q. And that was part of the consideration?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And ever since that time an eighth royalty has been paid?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: How long before the fifteen year lease had expired when this agreement was made?

Mr. Linebaugh: About two years, your honor.

(Witness excused.)

ALBERT T. TWIST, produced, sworn, and examined as a witness for and on behalf of the plaintiffs, testified as follows:

Direct examination by Mr. Linebaugh:

Q. Your name is Albert T. Twist?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Where do you live?

A. Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Q. How old are you?

A. Twenty-five.

Q. You are one of the plaintiffs in these suits?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you know Mr. Pitt of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company?

A. I do.

Q. Do you recall the incident of the filing of a petition by your mother to change the form of the leases covering your allotment and your brother's allotment and your father's allotment?

A. Yes, sir.

[fol. 54] Q. During the period of those negotiations and shortly before signing the petition were you in Mr. Pitt's office?

A. I was.

Q. Why did you go to Mr. Pitt's office?

A. I met him on the street one day. He asked me to go up to the office with him. I did.

Q. How long before the petition was filed?

A. I can't recall exact number of days, only a short time, possibly a month.

Q. What statements, if any, did Mr. Pitt there make to you regarding the petition and your mother as guardian?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that as immaterial.

The Court: I sustain the objection.

Mr. Linebaugh: Plaintiffs except.

The Court: It is barely possible this might be admissible on one theory. This man is twenty-five years old. This suit was brought last August and he is a party to the suit as I understand.

Mr. Davidson: His own suit.

The Court: Now, on the question of election as to what he knew and things like that might be competent on that.

Mr. Davidson: Yes, but as far as he himself is concerned he has elected.

The Court: All the evidence is competent for is to show the election and background. As to his case I would permit that but confine it to his action. I don't know what he is going to say.

Mr. Davidson: The only thing he could say would be in support of the allegations in the petition that these men are alleged to have said to him "if your mother don't do what we think is for the best interests we will complain to the court." It wouldn't be fraud.

The Court: That is not fraud.

Q. What representations, if any, did Mr. Pitts make concerning your mother's guardianship which you communicated to your mother?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that as wholly incompetent and immaterial under the issues in this case.

The Court: I sustain the objection.

Mr. Linebaugh: Plaintiffs except and wish to make their offer.

The Court: No I don't understand that to be the rule in the Federal Court that you have to fill up the record with what you propose to prove.

[fol. 55] Q. Mr. Twist, after you became of age was a well drilled upon your allotment?

Mr. Davidson: We object to that as incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial, not within any issue. I don't know the purpose unless it is this, that one of the things that they agreed to in that extension was that they should drill a well within six months. They don't make a charge in that respect, therefore it is not competent here.

Mr. Linebaugh: The statement which we agreed to shows the drilling of a well on this man's allotment after he became of age.

Mr. Davidson: I have no objection to that. That is already in evidence.

Mr. Linebaugh: We propose to show that this man

knew nothing about the drilling of that and did not consent to it.

The Court: If after it was completed he accepted the royalty I think that is an election.

Mr. Davidson: Completed two years ago and he is still taking the royalty.

Mr. Linebaugh: We do not think that will constitute an election.

The Court: I do. I am going to hold that unless you can show me some law to the contrary and I do not think you can.

Mr. Linebaugh: May I pursue this inquiry for that purpose?

The Court: You can put that in the record.

Q. Did you know anything about the drilling of that well or have anything to do with having it drilled?

A. No, sir.

Q. Until after the well was completed?

A. That is until it was practically completed.

Q. You signed a division order after you reached your majority, did you?

A. Some time near that time, yes.

Q. I hand you a photographic copy of a division order appearing to have been signed by you on November 1, 1918. You signed that, did you?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. At the time you signed this division order had you made any investigation of the method employed in the court by the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to get an extension of the lease on your land?

A. No, sir.

Q. At that time did you believe the proceedings had were regular and that the title of the Prairie Oil & Gas Company was valid?

[fol. 56] Mr. Davidson: We object to that.

The Court: At that time you were twenty one years of age?

A. Yes, sir.

The Court: You intended to live up to that contract made by your mother?

A. Yes, at that particular time.

The Court: You never had any other intention until some attorney advised your mother to set that aside?

A. No, sir; no attorney advised me. I figured that out of my own volition.

The Court: When did you find that out?

A. Just a little over a year ago.

The Court: How?

A. Through records of the Supreme Court handed down in Barnes vs. a certain oil company and Carlisle.

The Court: Up to that time you when you understood the Supreme Court handed down that opinion you intended for this contract to be carried out?

A. Yes, sir; if it was a binding contract. I thought if it was carried out in a business like manner.

The Court: With that expectation you accepted these royalties?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Mr. Twist between the 16th day of June, 1916, and the 1st of March, 1917, were any improvements made or additional development of this property made?

A. No, sir.

Q. Was the property in February, 1917, so far as the physical property is concerned in practically the same condition that it was in June, 1916?

A. It was.

Cross-examination by Mr. Davidson:

Q. You understood didn't you, that these moneys you were getting from the Prairie Oil & Gas Company was to pay your one-eighth royalty?

A. Yes, sir; one-eighth of a working interest.

Q. And you have taken that royalty up to last May?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Since then you have assigned your royalty haven't you?

A. I assigned it to the Title Guaranty & Trust Company as collateral for a loan.

Q. They have been collecting it since then?

[fol. 57] A. Yes, sir.

Q. You say you knew of the drilling of this well about the time it was completed?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And that was in September, 1919?

A. Yes, sir.

Mr. Davidson: We at this time offer and ask to have considered the division orders identified by the witness.

The Court: Very well, they may be considered read.

(Witness excused.)

COLLOQUY BETWEEN COURT AND COUNSEL.

Mr. Linebaugh: For the purpose of the record, your honor, we desire to offer that portion of the report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs of the United States to the Secretary of the Interior for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1914, appearing at pages 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 and 55, referring to the probate work of the Interior Department of the United States in Oklahoma, particularly that portion relating to the presentation or the preparation by and presentation to the Supreme Court of this state and its adoption of the rules of procedure which was adopted by the Supreme Court of this state on June 11, 1914.

Mr. Davidson: For what purpose do you offer that?

Mr. Linebaugh: For the purpose of showing that the rules as adopted by the Supreme Court were adopted for the adequate protection of minors of the State of Oklahoma of Indian blood and adopted in furtherance and to carry out a policy of the Department of the Interior and were adopted by the Department of the Interior and hence become not only the law of this state but a settled policy of the Interior Department and to that extent rules and regulations of the Secretary of the Interior.

Mr. Davidson: We object to the introduction of any part of this as evidence in the case.

The Court: I sustain the objection.

Mr. Linebaugh: Plaintiffs except. Now, may it be considered that that portion has been read into the record or may we substitute a copy?

The Court: That may be offered.

Mr. Linebaugh: May we withdraw the original and substitute a copy?

The Court: Yes, I will allow you to offer it. If you want

to put in the record the rules as adopted by the Supreme Court of the state you may put them in the record.

Mr. Davidson: All the rules?

[fol. 58] The Court: Put them all in there unless it would encumber the record. Of course, I take judicial knowledge of it anyway, but it will probably be convenient for all parties to have them in the record.

Mr. Linebaugh: Will it also be agreeable to substitute a copy of that?

Mr. Davidson: Yes.

(Whereupon a recess was taken for the noon hour and court having reconvened at two o'clock P. M., the following proceedings were had:)

STIPULATION

Mr. Linebaugh: It is agreed that the posted market price of crude oil from January 12th to August 2, 1917, was $1.70 a barrel.

Mr. Davidson: That is conceded subject to its materiality.

Mr. Linebaugh: And, subject to the same objection, that the price paid by the defendant company on the properties involved at the time of their purchase was a fair and reasonable market value in their then condition.

Mr. Davidson: We don't concede that at all. I don't know.

Mr. Linebaugh: Oh, I beg your pardon. Strike that out.

W. P. PHILLIPS, produced, sworn, and examined as a witness for and on behalf of the plaintiffs, testified as follows:

Direct examination by Mr. Linebaugh:

Q. Your name is W. P. Phillips?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. You live in Tulsa?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Are you acquainted with the properties in this consolidated litigation commonly known as the Twist allotments?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Situated in Sections 24 and 19, Township 20 North, Range 12 East?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have you property in that locality Mr. Phillips?

A. Not in that immediate locality. Some three or four miles beyond that.

Q. Have you producing oil property producing from the same sand as the production is coming from on the Twist property?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. How long have you been interested in such production?

[fol. 59] A. Well, I believe about since the first oil was discovered up there, twelve or fifteen years.

Q. For the last twelve or fifteen years?

A. Yes, somewhere along there.

Q. Were you acquainted during the year, 1916, with the market value of production from the Bartlesville Sand from which the production comes on the Twist lands?

A. I didn't understand.

Q. Are you acquainted with the market value of production *of production* coming from the Bartlesville Sand, the same sand from which the oil on the Twist properties was being produced?

A. At what time?

Q. 1916?

A. Only in a general way is all.

Q. In a general way, but you know what that market value was?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. What was it?

Mr. Davidson: If the court please, we object to that.

The Court: I don't think he shows himself qualified.

Mr. Davidson: We object for the further reason that the only purpose of this testimony would be to assail in this collateral proceeding the judgment of the County Court as to the sufficiency and adequacy of the consideration which the County Court passed upon?

The Court: Do they claim fraud?

Mr. Davidson: No fraud claimed to be practiced upon the County Court at all. This is a sale confirmed by the

court, made pursuant to the order of court and confirmed by the court, and the rule is that the adequacy of consideration can't be inquired into.

The Court: I sustain the objection.

Mr. Linebaugh: The plaintiffs except. Might I inquire——

The Court: I sustained it upon both grounds.

Mr. Linebaugh: May we further qualify the witness?

The Court: You may try to qualify him. I don't think it is competent.

Mr. Linebaugh: That is all Mr. Phillips.

(Witness excused.)

COLLOQUY BETWEEN COURT AND COUNSEL.

Mr. Linebaugh: That is our case, your honor. It is agreed that the charge for overhead as made by the defendant company is an arbitrary charge of 10%.

Mr. Davidson: What we say is, this was an overhead charge which is a charge for the salaries of the [fol. 60] superintendent, office force, clerical force, on all the properties. That is a customary charge made against each lease.

Mr. Linebaugh: An arbitrary charge of 10%.

Mr. Davidson: It is customary and the company has arrived at that as a fair and proper charge.

The Court: Now, there are five of them.

Mr. Davidson: Four leases.

The Court: Albert T. Twist, he was on the witness stand, twenty five years old, Edward C. Twist.

Mr. Davidson: He is still a minor.

The Court: Jessie L. Twist.

Mr. Davidson: Jessie L. Twist is 26 years old.

Mr. Linebaugh: No, she is about 22.

The Court: She was an adult when she was 18 years old, so she became of age——

Mr. Pinson: September 7, 1918.

The Court: And the other two heirs.

Mr. Davidson: Kuroki and Willie.

The Court: Their interest is as heirs of their father's estate, the estate of William G. Twist.

Mr. Davidson: That is right.

The Court: Very well.

Mr. Davidson: We think we are entitled to judgment in this case.

The Court: Have you any evidence to offer?

Mr. Davidson: We have no evidence.

The Court: All right, I will hear your argument on both sides.

(Whereupon counsel presented argument to the court.)

PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT #1

(Extract from Portion of Pages 50 to 55, Inclusive, Report of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, 1914)

Probate Work in Oklahoma

The minor children of the Five Civilized Tribes are perhaps the richest average children in the United States, which condition results from the fact that in allotting the Oklahoma Indians the children were given the same number of acres of land as their parents and share equally in [fol. 61] tribal funds. Consequently when Congress, in the Act of May 27, 1908, conferred upon the County Courts probate jurisdiction there was involved a greater amount of probate work than existed anywhere else. This, together with the fact that Oklahoma was admitted into the Union in 1907, and that the county judges then elected did not all possess the highest standards necessarily brought about a demoralized, inefficient, and in some instances corrupt condition.

It is apparent that many guardians were appointed without regard to their fitness and insolvent bondsmen accepted. It was not uncommon for lands of minor Indian children to be sold on appraisements influenced by prospective purchasers and for inadequate prices. Excessive compensation was many times allowed guardians and unreasonably large fees paid to attorneys. Under these conditions the property of Indian children was frequently so ravished that when final reports were called for they were not forthcoming, and estates were often found to have been dissi-

pated and their bondsmen financially irresponsible. Altogether it developed a condition demanding speedy and radical reforms.

I found this startling situation soon after my induction into office in June, 1913, and immediately proceeded to effect an organization whereby there might be assurance that this indefensible procedure might no longer maintain. Thereupon I arranged for conferences to be held at Muskogee with the county judges, prosecuting attorneys, district judges, and others interested in betterments for the territory covered by the Creek, Cherokee, and Seminole Nations, at McAlester covering the Choctaw Nation and likewise at Ardmore covering the Chickasaw Nation. These conferences were attended by practically all of the county judges, and after some 10 days' conference, during which all matters and things in detail concerning Indian minor probate conditions were exhaustively discussed, rules of probate procedure were adopted which are said to be more complete protection than exists in any other State. These rules, formulated under my direction after being adopted by the county judges, were approved by the president of the State County Judges' Association, and soon thereafter officially adopted and promulgated by the justices of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, and have since been in full force and effect, a copy of which rules are herein below set out.

To insure the prosecution of the probate work in a systematic and effective manner a force was organized consisting of the best obtainable attorneys, each of whom was chosen on his merits after careful and exhaustive investigation, to assist and cooperate with the county judges. This force was made up in part of attorneys employed at the [fol. 62] expense of the several tribes and partly at the expense of the United States under authority of Section 18 of the Act of Congress of June 30, 1913.

Widespread and gratifying results have already been accomplished. Wrongdoers have been prosecuted; estates have been recovered; dishonest and incompetent guardians have been removed; worthless bonds have been replaced with responsible bondsmen; and many thousands of dollars have been saved to Indian minors and invested for their benefit. These direct results are also increased to an ex-

tent which can only be approximated by the moral influence which has resulted, operating powerfully to prevent a repetition of wrongdoing and to insure better conditions in the future.

As a result of this gratifying progress, together with the public approval now almost universal in Oklahoma, it is confidently believed that the next legislature will enact laws in harmony with these probate rules and that permanent protection of the property of Indian minors will be assured.

The following are the rules of probate procedure now being enforced in the courts of Oklahoma, and it is my firm purpose to make unrelenting effort in behalf of these Indian children:

Rules of Procedure in Probate Matters Adopted by the Justices of the Supreme Court of Oklahoma

Now, on this 11th day of June, 1914, the justices of the Supreme Court, pursuant to Section 5347, Revised Laws of Oklahoma, 1910, meet at the capital of the State of Oklahoma for the purpose of revising their general rules and making such amendments in addition thereto as may be required for the proper and expeditious conduct of the business of said court and other courts of record of said State. After due consideration, the justices of said Supreme Court promulgated and adopted the following rules:

Rule 1

The — of each — are hereby set apart and designated as the dates on which the court will hear guardian's reports; provided that such reports have been on file and notice given, as provided in Rule 3.

Rule 2

All guardians are required to make annual, or semiannual reports, unless otherwise directed, under oath, showing fully and completely the description, character, kind, and value of all property held for their wards. All items of [fol. 63] receipts and disbursements must be in detail and receipts produced and filed for sums paid out. All securities and assets should be listed in each report, and copies of

deeds, mortgages, etc., evidencing same recorded and attached thereto as exhibits. Upon an approval of any order of court to invest the funds of a ward, guardians shall attach to their reports copies of evidence of title or other investment. The date and amount of guardian's bond, premium paid, if any, as well as the names, addresses, and solvency of sureties thereon, must be given. The name, age, sex, of the ward and relationship, if any, to the guardian should be stated, and the school advantages disclosed. All reports must be self-explanatory. A failure or refusal to file reports as due will be grounds for removal.

Rule 3

Upon the filing of the reports and fixing of the date for hearing thereof, the judge shall cause notice to be given of the date of such hearing to the persons having custody of the ward, the representative of the Interior Department or probate attorney, at least 10 days before the date of the hearing. Any person or persons interested may appear and make objections, if so desired, to the approval of such reports, and offer evidence to support such objections.

Rule 4

No receipts from the ward upon the final accounting of a guardian will be accepted or considered unless the ward be brought into open court, and upon the hearing of said final receipt, the stenographic notes shall be transcribed and a copy thereof filed with the papers in the case. In the consideration of any reports, annual or final, any item included in any previous reports may be reviewed.

Rule 5

Petitions for the sale of land, of minors and incompetents will be heard — of each —. On the hearing on petitions for sale, the guardian, person in custody, and the ward himself, when over 14 years of age, must be present and must be examined as to the necessity for said sale and the truth of the allegations of the petition, and furnish such additional evidence as the court may require. The evidence offered must be taken down and transcribed and a copy thereof

filed with the papers in the case. No bid will be considered by the court unless a certified check in the amount of 10 per cent of the amount of the bid be deposited either in court or with the guardian offering the land for sale.

[fol. 64]

Rule 6

In the sale of minors' lands or minors' interest in land, the guardians shall be required to render to the court for his approval before confirmation of sale, an account of sale showing each item of expense incurred in such sale, and in no case shall abstract fees be charged against the minor's estate, except by a special agreement with the court at or prior to the time of filing bid. Confirmation will not be had except on the —.

Rule 7

Under the sale of real estate by guardian, no fees in excess of the following schedule of fees will be allowed attorneys:

	Per cent
On the first $500, or less	10
From $501 to $1,500, inclusive	5
From $1,501 to $3,000, inclusive	2
For all above $3,000	1

But in no case shall the fee exceed the sum of $300. The minimum fee will be $25, unless the court in granting the petition for the sale shall stipulate that the fee and costs incident thereto shall be borne by the purchaser.

Rule 8

No petition for the sale of ward's property or voucher for the payment by the Interior Department of money to the guardian will be considered if said guardian is delinquent in making reports or filing inventory as required by law.

Rule 9

No oil and gas or other mineral lease covering lands belonging to minors or incompetents will be approved except after sale in open court to the highest and best responsible

bidder. All petitions for the approval of oil and gas leases shall be filed at least five days before the same are sold, as provided herein, and notice of such sale must be given by posting and by publication, where publication is practicable, and shall be on — of each —.

Rule 10

Deeds conveying inherited lands of full-blood Indian heirs shall be approved only on the verified petitions of grantors, which shall set forth the names of the parties, description of the land, roll number of the decedent and grantors and quantum of blood, the permanent residence of the deceased allottee at the time of death, and the char- [fol. 65] acter and extent of the interest sold. Said petitions shall be set down for hearing not less than 10 days from the date of filing, and on one of the two days hereinbefore provided for the confirmation of sale by guardian, advertised in the county where the land is located for one week, and probate attorney or local counsel for the tribe of which the grantor is a member shall be notified upon the filing of the petition. Said land shall be appraised, and testimony of disinterested persons may be required as to the value of the land conveyed when deemed necessary by the court. The grantors shall be present and be examined in open court, and before such deeds shall be approved, and the court must be satisfied that the consideration has been paid in full in the presence of the court. No petition will be considered when any deed has been previously placed of record upon the land or taken within 30 days aftere the death of the allottee. The evidence shall be transcribed by the stenographer and filed of record in the case, the expense of which, including attorneys' fees, must be borne by the grantee. When it shall appear for the best interests of the Indian, approval will be withheld unless the grantor agrees in writing for the deposit of the proceeds derived from the sale of the land, to be expended subject to the approval of the County Court.

Rule 11

Guardians shall not expend for or on account of their wards any sum unless first authorized by the court, except

in case of sickness of the ward, or other emergency, in which event notice must be given immediately to the court.

Rule 12

The national attorney or any of the probate attorneys for the Five Civilized Tribes or the representative of the Department of the Interior (or Department of Justice in the Seminole Nation) will be recognized in any matter involving the person or property of a citizen of such nation.

Rule 13

Trust funds must be deposited by the guardian as trustee, and not to his personal account; and where an individual is guardian for several persons or estates, the accounts shall be deposited and kept separate and apart.

Rule 14

In the settlement of a guardian's account, where the guardian is the parent of the ward, no allowance will be made from the ward's estate for board and keep, except it is made to appear a positive injustice would result from [fol. 66] the enforcement of such rule and unless said parent is unable to support said ward.

Rule 15

All guardians shall be required to secure loans for funds in their hands belonging to their wards with real-estate first mortgage security, not to exceed 50 per cent valuation of the land, approved by the County Court, for such length of time as will insure the collection of the principal and interest before the arriving at majority of the wards.

Rule 16

No will or other instrument purporting to be a will covering the lands of a restricted Indian of the Five Civilized Tribes, whether such lands be his individual allotment or inherited land, when submitted by the allottee or other person to the proper probate court, as required under existing law, shall receive the acknowledgment of nor be admitted

to probate by such probate court until after notice shall have been given to the local probate or tribal attorneys for the tribes or for the Department of the Interior, or a representative thereof.

Rule 17

These rules shall also apply to executorships and administrations in so far as they are applicable, especially inasmuch as sales of property and accountings are concerned.

Rule 18

All advertisements not required by law may be waived with the consent of the County Court upon the approval of the probate attorney or tribal attorney.

It is ordered and directed by the Supreme Court that the judge of any court wherein said rules may be applicable shall, immediately after conference with the probate attorney assigned to his county or district by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, fill in all blank spaces in said rules left vacant by the justices of the Supreme Court to suit the conveniences of said judges and facilitate the efficient and orderly transaction of business in their respective courts.

And it is further ordered and directed that the rules so promulgated and adopted shall apply to the Supreme Court, District Courts, Superior Courts, County Courts, and all other courts of record throughout the State in which they may be applicable, and that they shall be of full force on and after the 15th day of July, 1914.

[fol. 67] Certificate

State of Oklahoma,
County of Tulsa, ss:

I, W. H. L. Campbell, clerk of the Supreme Court for and in the State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, correct, and complete copy of certain rules promulgated and adopted by the justices of said Supreme Court for the proper and expeditious conduct of the business of said court and other courts of record of said State as the same appear of record in my office,

In testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of said court this 24th day of June, 1914.

W. H. L. Campbell, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma. (Seal.)

Defendant Exhibit #1

P. O. & G. Co.—No. M16-5M-4-32. No. 119 Tulsa Co.

Transfer Order

Tulsa, Ok., May 13, 1922.

To the Prairie Oil & Gas Company:

The undersigned ha- sold and transferred — interest in Wells Nos. 1 and up on Albert T. Twist Farm, located in N. 2 of the N. E. 4 of the S. E. 4 and the S. W. 4 of the *of the* S. E. 4 of the N. E. 4, Sec. 24-20-12 East, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as below:

Interest	To whom transferred	P. O. address (Give box No. or street address)
Entire Royalty Interest	Title Guarantee & — Company #2	Tulsa Oklahoma (Cosden Bldg.)

You will therefore give credit for oil received from said interest as above, beginning at 7:00 o'clock A. M., on the 16th day of May, 1922.

A. T. T. Albert T. Twist.
(Seller sign above.)

Witness: Henry F. Thomas.

The undersigned certify that they are the legal owners of the interests above transferred and warrant the title thereto, and The Prairie Oil and Gas Company is hereby authorized, until further notice, to receive oil from said [fol. 68] wells for purchase from said parties, subject to the following conditions:

First. The oil run in pursuance of this division order shall become and be the property of The Prairie Oil and Gas Company upon the delivery thereof to it or any other common carrier pipe line designated by it.

Second. The oil purchased and received in pursuance of this division order shall be delivered F. O. B. to any pipe line designated by The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, which may be able and willing to gather and receive the same, and shall be paid for to the well owners or their assigns in proportion to their respective interests shown above, at the market price paid by The Prairie Oil and Gas Company for the same kind and quality of oil on the same day that said oil, purchased in pursuance of this division order, is delivered as aforesaid. Settlement therefor shall be made semi-monthly by mailing or delivery to the persons from whom such oil is so purchased, their heirs, assigns or legal representatives, on or before the 25th day of each month, a bank check or draft for the amount due on account of oil so purchased during the first fifteen days of the month, and in like manner, on or before the 10th day of each month, for all oil so purchased and delivered after the fifteenth day of the preceding month. Pipe line grades and measurements to govern and control in all settlements.

Third. The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, shall deduct three per cent. from all oil received from wells into the pipe lines for its account on account of dirt and sediment, and, in addition, shall deduct one-twentieth of one per cent. for each degree of artificial heat above normal temperature to which said oil shall have been subjected, and oil shall be steamed when necessary to render it merchantable.

Fourth. It is understood and agreed in case of adverse claim of title to the land from which any such oil may be produced, or adverse claim of title to any oil sold and purchased in pursuance of this division order, The Prairie Oil and Gas Company may retain the purchase price of such oil until such adverse claim is fully settled and determined, or until the party or parties claiming to be owners thereof shall furnish satisfactory indemnity.

Title Guarantee & Trust Company, by J. M. Winters, Vice-Pres.

(Buyer sign above.)

Witness: Henry F. Thomas.

Attest: U. H. Day, Secy.

Approved: W. H. Love, Attorney, 5/15/22.

6—986

[fol. 69] DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT #2

WHL—P. O. & G. Co.—No. M16 20m 2 15. #9 Los Angeles Cherokee

Transfer Order

6 o'clock a. m. Jan. 15, 1917.

To the Prairie Oil & Gas Company:

The undersigned ha- this day sold — interest in Wells Nos. 1 and up on Albert T. Twist, a minor Farm, located in North Half (N. 2) of Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of the Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) and Southwest Quarter (S. W. 4) of Southeast Quarter (S. E. 4) of Northeast Quarter (N. E. 4) of Sec. 24 20 12, Cherokee Nation, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as below:

Interest	Purchaser sold	P. O. address
7/8 W. I.	The Prairie Oil & Gas Company	Independence, Kansas.

1/8 Royalty, Albert T. Twist, minor, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

You will therefore give credit for oil received from said interest as above.

The Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, by J. F. Pitt, Asst. Sect. Leoria L. Twist Weldy.

Attest: —— —— Secretary.
Tank Nos. —.

The undersigned lessor consents to the assignment of lease covering the above described land and The Prairie Oil and Gas Company is hereby authorized, until further notice, to remove oil from said wells for purchase from said parties severally in the proportions named, subject to the following conditions:

First. The oil run to any pipe line for transportation in pursuance of this division order shall become and be the property of The Prairie Oil and Gas Company as soon as the delivery thereof to the pipe line of The Prairie Pipe Line Co. for account of said The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, shall have been completed.

Second. The oil purchased and received in pursuance of this division order shall be delivered F. O. B. the pipe lines of The Prairie Pipe Line Co. and shall be paid for to the well owners or their assigns in proportion to their respective interests shown above, at the market price paid by The Prairie Oil and Gas Company for the same kind and quality of oil on the same day that said oil, purchased in pursuance of this division order, is delivered as aforesaid. [fol. 70] Settlement therefor shall be made semi-monthly by mailing or delivery to the person from whom such oil is so purchased, their heirs, assigns, or legal representatives, on or before the 25th day of each month, a bank check or draft for the amount due on account of oil so purchased during the first fifteen days of the month, and in like manner, on or before the 10th day of each month, for all oil so purchased and delivered after the fifteenth day of the preceding month. Pipe line grades and measurements to govern and control in all settlements.

Third. The Prairie Oil and Gas Company shall deduct three per cent from all oil received from wells into the pipe lines for its account on account of dirt and sediment, and, in addition, shall deduct one twentieth of one per cent for each degree of artificial heat above normal temperature to which said oil shall have been subjected, and oil shall be steamed when necessary to render it merchantable.

Fourth. It is understood and agreed in case of adverse claim of title to the land from which any such oil may be produced, of adverse claim of title of any oil sold and purchased in pursuance of this division order, The Prairie Oil and Gas Company may retain the purchase price of such oil until such adverse claim is fully settled and determined, or until the party or parties claiming to be owners thereof shall furnish satisfactory indemnity.

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of Albert T. Twist, a minor. The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, by ————, Vice President.

Witness: John Schmitz.
Approved: W. H. Lowe, Attorney.
Attest: ————, Secretary.

Received Mar. 19, 1917. Accepted on and after 1 15 17.

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT #3

P. O. & G. Co.—No. M16 20m 2 15. 119 Tulsa

Transfer Order

Nov. 1, 1918.

To the Prairie Oil & Gas Company:

The undersigned has this day sold royalty interest in Wells Nos. 1 and up on Albert T. Twist Farm, located in N. 2 N. E. 4 S. E. 4 and S. W. 4 S. E. 4 N. E. 4 24-20-12, — Nation, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, as below:

Interest	To whom sold	P. O. address
1/8 Royalty	Albert T. Twist	418 West 2nd, Tulsa, Okla.

[fol. 71] This transfer order to be considered effective beginning at 7 o'clock A. M. on — day of ——, 19—.

You will therefore give credit for oil received from said interest as above.

Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian of Albert T. Twist.

Tank Nos. —.

The Prairie Oil and Gas Company is hereby authorized, until further notice, to receive oil from said wells for purchase from said parties severally in the proportions named, subject to the following conditions:

First. The oil run to any pipe line for transportation in pursuance of this division order shall become and be the property of The Prairie Oil and Gas Company as soon as the delivery thereof to the pipe line of The Prairie Oil & Gas Co. and The Prairie Pipe Line Co. for account of said The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, shall have been completed.

Second. The oil purchased and received in pursuance of this division order shall be delivered F. O. B. the pipe lines of The Prairie Oil & Gas Co. and The Prairie Pipe Line Co. and shall be paid for to the well owners or their assigns in proportion to their respective interests shown above, at the market price paid by The Prairie Oil & Gas Company for the same kind and quality of oil on the same day that said oil, purchased in pursuance of this division order, is delivered as aforesaid. Settlement therefor shall be made

semi monthly by mailing or delivery to the person from whom such oil is so purchased, their heirs, assigns, or legal representatives, on or before the 25th day of each month, a bank check or draft for the amount due on account of oil so purchased during the first fifteen days of the month, and in like manner, on or before the 10th day of each month, for all oil so purchased and delivered after the fifteenth day of the preceding month. Pipe line grades and measurements to govern and control in all settlements.

Third. The Prairie Oil and Gas Company shall deduct three per cent from all oil received from wells into the pipe lines for its account on account of dirt and sediment, and, in addition, shall deduct one twentieth of one per cent for each degree of artificial heat above normal temperature to which said oil shall have been subjected, and oil shall be steamed when necessary to render it merchantable.

Fourth. It is understood and agreed in case of adverse claim of title to the land from which any such oil may be produced, or adverse claim of title of any oil sold and pur- [fol. 72] chased in pursuance of this division order, The Prairie Oil and Gas Company may retain the purchase price of such oil until such adverse claim is fully settled and determined, or until the party or parties claiming to be the owners thereof shall furnish satisfactory indemnity.

Albert T. Twist.

Witness: D. R. Mahoney.

Approved Nov. 8, 1918. W. H. Love, Attorney.

Defendant's Exhibit 4

Voucher No. —. Number 116,778

Independence, Kansas

The Prairie Oil & Gas Company: Independence, Kans.

May 15, 1922.

Pay to the order of Albert T. Twist, $48.46, P. O. & G. Co., $48 and 46 cts., for proceeds of all oil run to and including above date at the market price as shown by ac-

companying statement in accordance with terms of division order.

The Prairie Oil & Gas Company. E. T. Pa—, Treasurer.

(Oil Purchased Account.)

Oil Purchase Check 864. To Commercial National Bank, 8352, Independence, Kansas.

Stamped: First National Bank, Tulsa, May —, 1922. ——— ———, Chief Teller.

Endorsed on back: Albert T. Twist. Perforated: Paid. Stamped: Pay to the order of First National Bank of Tulsa, Oklahoma, for deposit only. Palace Clothing Store. 123. Pay to the order of — bank or trust company. May 29, 1922. The Federal Reserve of Kansas City. Pay to — bank or banker. — guaranteed. May 27, 1922. First National Bank, Tulsa, Okla. 864. Roscoe Adams, Cashier.

[fol. 73] DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT #5

L W T G T. No. 118 Tulsa 8

Transferable Only with Consent of the Secretary of the Interior

Oil and Gas Mining Lease Upon Land Selected for Allotment, Cherokee Nation, Indian Territory

(Sec. 72, Act of July 1, 1902, 32 Stat. 716, 726)

This indenture of Lease, made and entered into, in quadruplicate, on this 30th day of September, A. D. 1904, by and between William G. Twist, of Tulsa, Indian Territory, party of the first part, and Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, Los Angeles, California, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of California, and duly authorized to carry on business in the Indian Territory, by compliance with the Act of Congress, approved February 18, 1901, (31 Stat. 794) party of the second part, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 72 of the Act of Congress approved July 1, 1902, and the regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior thereunder,

Witnesseth: That the party of the first part, for and in consideration of the royalties, covenants, stipulations, and conditions hereinafter contained, and hereby agreed to be paid, observed, and performed by the party of the second part, its successors and assigns, do hereby demise, grant and let unto the party of the second part, its successors and assigns, for the term of fifteen years from the date hereof, all of the oil deposits and natural gas in or under the following described tract of land, lying and being within the Cherokee Indian Nation and within the Indian Territory, to wit: The Lot 3 of Section (19), Township 20 North, Range 13 E. and the North 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Section 14, Township 20 North, Range 12 East, and the S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and the N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of Section (24), Township 20 North, Range 12 East, of the Indian Meridian, and containing 80.69 acres, more or less, with the right to prospect for, extract, pipe, store, refine, and remove such oil and natural gas, and to occupy and use so much only of the surface of said land as may be reasonably necessary to carry on the work of prospecting for, extracting, piping, storing, refining and removing such oil and natural gas, including also the right to obtain from wells or other sources on said land, by means of pipe lines or otherwise, a sufficient supply of water to carry on said operations, and including still further the right to use such oil and natural gas as fuel as far as it is necessary to the prosecution of said operations. [fol. 74] In consideration of which the party of the second part hereby agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns, to pay or cause to be paid to the lessor, as royalty, the sum of ten per cent of the value, on the leased premises, of all crude oil extracted from the said land, and if the parties do not, before the tenth day of the month succeeding its extraction, agree upon the value of the crude oil on the leased premises, the value thereof shall finally be determined under the direction of the Secretary of the Interior in such manner as he shall prescribe, and to so pay the royalty accruing for any month on or before the twenty-fifth day of the month succeeding, and where the value of the crude oil fluctuates, the average value during the month shall constitute the criterion in computing the royalty; and to pay in yearly payments, at the end of each year, such royalty on each gas producing well as the Secretary of the

Interior may prescribe, the lessor to have free the use of the gas for lighting and warming his residence on the premises. But failure on the part of the lessee to use a gas-producing well, where the same cannot be reasonably utilized at the rate so prescribed, shall not work a forfeiture of this lease so far as the same relates to mining oil.

And the party of the second part further agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns, to pay or cause to be paid to the lessor, as advanced annual royalty on this lease, the sums of money as follows, to-wit: Fifteen cents per acre per annum in advance for the first and second years; Thirty cents per acre per annum, in advance, for the third and fourth years; and Seventy five cents per acre per annum, in advance for the fifth and each succeeding year thereafter of the term for which this lease is to run; it being understood and agreed that said sums of money so paid shall be a credit on the stipulated royalties should the same exceed such sums paid as advanced royalty and further, that should the party of the second part neglect or refuse to pay such advanced annual royalty for the period of sixty days after the same becomes due and payable, then this lease shall, at the option of the lessor, be null and void, and all royalties paid in advance shall become the money and property of the lessor.

The party of the second part further covenants and agrees to exercise diligence in the sinking of wells for oil and natural gas on the lands covered by this lease, and to operate the same in a workmanlike manner to the fullest possible extent, unavoidable casualties excepted; to commit no waste upon the said land, and to suffer no waste to be committed upon the portion in its occupancy or use; to take good care of the same, and to promptly surrender and return the premises upon the termination of this lease to the party of the first part or to whomsoever shall be lawfully [fol. 75] entitled thereto, and not to remove therefrom any buildings or improvements erected thereon during the said term by the said party of the second part, but said buildings and improvements shall remain a part of said land and become the property of the owner of the land as part of the consideration for this lease, in addition to the other considerations herein specified, excepting that tools, boilers, boilerhouses, pipe lines, pumping and drilling outfits, tanks,

engines, and machinery, and the casing of all dry or exhausted wells, shall remain the property of the said party of the second part, and may be removed at any time before the expiration of sixty days from the termination of the lease; that it will not permit any nuisance to be maintained on the premises under its control, nor allow any intoxicating liquors to be sold or given away for any purpose on such premises; that it will not use such premises for any other purpose than that authorized in this lease, and that before abandoning any well it will securely plug the same so as to effectually shut off all water above the oil bearing horizon.

And it is mutually understood and agreed that no sublease, assignment or transfer of this lease or of any interest therein or thereunder can be directly or indirectly made without the written consent thereto of the lessor and the Secretary of the Interior first obtained, and that any such assignment or transfer made or attempted without such consent shall be void.

And the said party of the second part further covenants and agrees that it will keep an accurate account of all oil mining operations, showing the whole amount of oil mined or removed; and all sums due as royalty shall be a lien on all implements, tools, movable machinery, and other personal chattels used in prospecting and mining operations, and upon all of the oil obtained from the land herein leased, as security for the payment of said royalties.

And the party of the second part agree that this indenture of lease shall in all respects be subject to the rules and regulations heretofore or that may hereafter be lawfully prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior relative to oil and gas leases in the Cherokee Nation.

And the said party of the second part expressly agrees that should it or its sublessees, heirs, executors, administrators, successors, or assigns, violate any of the covenants, stipulations, or provisions of this lease, or fail for the period of sixty days to pay the stipulated monthly royalty provided for herein, then the party of the first part shall be at liberty, in his discretion, to avoid this indenture of lease and cause the same to be annulled, when all the rights, franchises, and privileges of the party of the second part, [fol. 76] its sublessees, heirs, executors, administrators,

successors or assigns, hereunder shall cease and end without further proceedings.

If the lessee makes reasonable and bona fide effort to find and produce oil in paying quantity as is herein required of it, and such effort is unsuccessful, it may at any time thereafter, with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, surrender and wholly terminate this lease upon the full payment and performance of all of its then existing obligations hereunder: Provided, however, that approval of such surrender by the Secretary will be required only during the time his approval of the alienation of the land is required by law.

It is further agreed and understood that this lease shall be of no force or effect unless the party of the second part shall, within sixty days from the date of approval of the application filed in connection herewith, furnish a satisfactory bond in accordance with the regulations of May 4, 1903, prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior, which shall be deposited and remain on file in the Indian Office during the life of this lease.

In witness whereof the said parties have hereunto subscribed their names and affixed their seals on the day and year first above mentioned.

William G. Twist. (Seal.) Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Co. (Seal.) Dave Murphy, President. (Seal.) Geo. Frey H. Mutoff, Secretary. (Seal.)

Attest: ——.

Two witnesses to execution by lessor: Joseph J. Downs, P. O. Turley, I. T.; George S. Hine, P. O. Bartlesville, Ind. Ter.

Two witnesses to execution by lessee: Gilbert C. Schmidt, P. O. Los Angeles, Cal.; George N. Parks, Jr., P. O. Los Angeles, Cal. [illegible]s to President and Secretary.

United States of America,
Indian Territory,
Northern Judicial District, ss:

Be it remembered, that on this day came before me, the undersigned a notary public within and for the Northern Judicial District of the Indian Territory aforesaid, duly

commissioned and acting as such William G. Twist, of Tulsa, Ind. Ter., to me personally well known as the party lessor in the within and foregoing indenture of oil and gas mining lease, and stated that he had executed the same for the consideration and purposes therein mentioned and set forth, and I do hereby so certify.

[fol. 77] Witness my hand and seal as such notary public on this 30th day of September, 1904. Beulah A. Reynolds, Notary Public. (Seal.) My commission expires March 18th, 1908.

Affidavit of Witness

United States of America,
Indian Territory,
Northern Judicial District, ss:

Joseph J. Downs of Turley, Ind. Ter., and George S. Hine of Bartlesville, Ind. Ter., witnesses to the attached lease executed by William G. Twist to Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, being by me first duly sworn, upon their oaths state, each for himself: That said oil and gas mining lease was in their presence read and fully explained to the lessor, and that he understood the nature, contents and effects thereof, and approved of the same.

Joseph J. Downs, George S. Hine.

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me this 30th day of September, 1904. Beulah A. Reynolds, Notary Public. My commission expires March 18th, 1908. (Seal.)

Certificate of Officer Taking Acknowledgment

United States of America,
Indian Territory,
Northern Judicial District, ss:

I, Beulah A. Reynolds, of Vinita, Ind. Ter., a notary public within and for the Northern District of the Indian Territory, do hereby certify that Joseph J. Downs of Turley, Ind. Ter., and George S. Hine, of Bartlesville, Ind. Ter., witnesses to the attached oil and gas mining lease, executed by William G. Twist to Los Angeles Cherokee Oil

Company signed the same in my presence at the request of the lessor; that they are personally well known to me; that I know of my own knowledge that they are reputable persons and entitled to full faith and credit.

Witness my hand and seal as such notary public on this 30th day of September, 1904.

Beulah A. Reynolds, Notary Public. My commission expires March 18th, 1908. (Seal.)

Endorsed on back: Quadruplicate. Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C. Oil and Gas Mining Lease, Cherokee Nation, I. T. William G. Twist of Tulsa, Ind. Ter., to Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, of Los Angeles, California, of Lot 3, of Sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 13 E., and the N. 15.28 acres of Lot 7, Sec. 14, T. 20 N., R. 12 E., and the S. 2 of N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 and the N. E. 4 of S. E. 4 of S. E. 4 Sec. 24, Tp. 20 N., Range 12 E., in the Cherokee Nation, Indian Territory, containing 80.69 acres. Dated [fol. 78] September 30th, 1904. Expires September 30th, 1919. Reg. No. 364. Department of the Interior, U. S. Indian Service, Union Agency, Muskogee, I. T., Dec. 2, 1904. The within lease is forwarded to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs with recommendation that it be approved. See my report of even date. J. Fentress Wisdom, U. S. Indian Agent, Clerk in Charge. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs. Washington, D. C., December 23, 1904. Respectfully submitted to the Secretary of the Interior with recommendation that it be approved. N. O. Tonner, Acting Commissioner. L. R. S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D. C., Dec. 30, 1904. Approved. Thos. Ryan, Acting Secretary of the Interior. Rec. by Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Co. 5-18-04. Stamped: 39014. 1905. Indian Office, 85727. 1904. Incl. No. 2. Received ——, 1904. Office of U. S. Indian Agent, Muskogee, Ind. Ter. Department of the Interior. Received Dec. 24, 1904. Enc. No. 2 of No. 12924. Indian Territory Division. No. —. Received May 2, 1904. Office of U. S. Indian Agent, Muskogee, Ind. Ter. No. 41887. Received Dec. 3, 1904. Office of U. S. Indian Inspector for Indian Territory.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Petition for Appeal of Edward C. Twist et al.—Filed June 23, 1923

To the Honorable R. L. Williams, District Judge for the Eastern District of the State of Oklahoma:

The above named plaintiffs, feeling themselves aggrieved by the decree made and entered in the above styled causes, on the 27th day of April, 1923, do hereby appeal from said decree to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors, which is filed herewith. The plaintiffs pray that said appeal be allowed and that citation issue, as provided by law, and that a transcript of record, proceedings and papers upon which said decree was based, duly authenticated, may [fol. 79] be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, sitting at St. Louis, in the State of Missouri.

And your petitioners further pray that proper order, touching the security to be required of them to perfect their appeal, be made.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Assignment of Errors—Filed June 23, 1923

And now on this 23d day of June, 1923, come the plaintiffs, by their attorneys, James S. Watson and Linebaugh & Pinson, and say that the decree entered in the above cause on the 27th day of April, 1923, is erroneous and unjust to the said plaintiffs:

1. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, had jurisdiction on the 16th day of June, 1916, to

enter an order directing Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company, extending the terms of certain oil and gas mining leases covering the estates of said minor wards.

2. Because the court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an extension of the terms of the oil and gas mining lease upon the lands of the said named wards was valid, though not made nor executed in compliance with the rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma and the Statutes of the State of Oklahoma, relative to the sales of personal and real property of minor wards.

3. Because the court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, on the 16th day of June, 1916, had jurisdiction to direct the sale or grant of oil and gas leases, as administrator and as guardian, acting conjointly, so that the same should cover, in addition to the lands of the above named minors, the lands of William G. Twist, the father of said minors, in whose lands the said wards inherited an undivided interest.

4. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that certain oil and gas mining leases, or extension agreements, made and executed prior to the termination of [fol. 80] oil and gas leases then existing on the lands of said minor wards, and over-lapping with said leases, are valid and of full force and effect.

5. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to hold that certain minor Indian wards, restricted under the laws of Congress, were not subject to the protection afforded by certain probate rules enacted by the Supreme Court of Oklahoma, at the special instance and request of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs of the United States, as a part of the program of the United States for the protection of said minor Indian wards.

6. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that said rules were directory merely, and were not jurisdictional, in the face of the determination by the Supreme Court of the State of Oklahoma, that said rules are and have always been jurisdictional.

7. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an oil and gas mining lease, made for a grossly inadequate consideration, without compliance with the Statutes and probate rules of the State of Oklahoma, was nevertheless valid and enforceable.

8. The Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the action herein constitutes a collateral attack upon the so-called decree of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

9. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, ever in fact made any order under and by virtue of which the guardian of the above named minor wards was authorized to execute the said extension lease.

10. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that certain statements made by representatives of the Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company to Leoria L. Twist Weldy, as guardian of the minor wards above named, to the effect that if the leases were not extended they would place vacuums on the wells, the effect of which would be to destroy the wells; and the further statement that the sum of $18,000.00 was more than the extension leases were worth, and the further insinuations and statements that other people were seeking the guardianship of her minors, which caused a fear in the mind of the guardian that the guardianship of her own children might be taken from her, or the wells destroyed, if she did not make the extension leases, and each and all of said suggestions, taken together, did not constitute such fraud or duress upon the guardian [fol. 81] as, coupled with the inadequacy of consideration, was sufficient to nullify the lease or extension agreement.

11. Because the Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that an extension oil and gas lease, made without an order of sale and without competitive bidding, conveyed title to the oil and gas, together with the equipment, real and personal property, of the said minor wards.

12. The Court erred in holding, adjudging and decreeing that the guardian of the minor wards, joined by the administrator of the estate of William G. Twist, deceased, had the power, or that the County Court of Tulsa County

had the authority, to consummate a sale of the various interests in William G. Twist's estate, and in the lands of Albert T. Twist, Edward C. Twist and Jessie L. Twist, jointly, for the lump sum of $18,000.00, without any appraisement of the various properties, without any opportunity for an open market, resulting in the arbitrary division of the amount so received, without regard to the value of the respective properties.

13. Because the Court erred in refusing to permit the witness, Albert T. Twist, to testify as to the statements of Mr. Pitt, made to him a short time before the petition for extension of lease was filed, and which he communicated to his mother.

14. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to permit the witness Phillips to testify as to the market value of the leases so sold in the year 1916.

15. Because the Court erred in failing and refusing to set aside and vacate the lease, or extension agreement, executed by the said guardian and administrator, together with the purported order of court relating thereto, for the reason that the lease was wholly void and the order of the court so made was without jurisdiction.

Wherefore, the plaintiffs pray that the said decree be reversed and that this court render a proper decree on the record, cancelling said lease, and granting judgment to the plaintiffs for the sum of money which the record shows to be due to them since the expiration of the original lease on the land involved, and for such other relief as to the Court may seem proper and just.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

[File endorsement omitted.]

[fol. 82] In United States District Court

Order Allowing Appeal—Filed June 23, 1923

On this the 23rd day of June, 1923, came on to be heard the petition of the plaintiffs above named for an order allowing appeal, and thereupon, it was by the Court ordered

that said petition be granted and the appeal allowed upon the plaintiffs giving bond, conditioned as required by law in the sum of two hundred fifty dollars.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

[File endorsement omitted.]

Bond on appeal for $250.00, approved and filed August 13, 1923, omitted in printing.

[fol. 83] In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Stipulation as to Printing Record—Filed August 13, 1923

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned, attorneys for plaintiffs as appellants, and the defendant, as appellee and cross appellant in case #2929, that the record on appeal shall be complete and full when certified by the Clerk to contain, according to the terms of this stipulation, the following portions of the record of the proceedings in this case, and none other, to-wit:

1. The petition of the plaintiff.
2. The answer and cross petition of the defendant.
3. The reply and answer of the plaintiff.
4. The full and complete evidence as taken and transcribed and filed in this cause by the plaintiff, instead of a reduced statement thereof.
5. Judgment or decree of the Court.
6. The petition of plaintiff for appeal, assignments of error and order allowing appeal.
7. Appeal bond.

[fol. 84] 8. Petition of defendant for cross appeal in case #2929, assignment of errors, order allowing appeal and supersedeas bond.

9. This stipulation.

It is further stipulated and agreed that the lodgment of the transcript, suggestions and amendments, citation and filing of praecipe for printing the record are waived, and

that the Clerk may proceed to print the record and certify the same in accordance with this stipulation and transmit the same to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals.

It is further stipulated and agreed that by reason of the four cases mentioned in the caption having been consolidated for trial and presenting the same questions of law and fact, the record in each of the said four cases shall be similarly printed; that is to say, that the record shall be the record of the consolidated cases, and the requisite number of copies in each case shall be bound and entitled in the particular cases, and it is further stipulated that the four cases shall be consolidated on appeal and presented as a consolidated case with the approval of the Appellate Court, the same record to be used by both parties on their respective appeals.

Each and every provision and term of this stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the trial court.

James S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Appellants. West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Appellee and Cross-appellant in Case #2929.

ORDER

It is ordered that the terms and provisions contained in the foregoing stipulation be and they are hereby approved, this the 31st day of August, 1923.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

[fol. 83] IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

[Title omitted]

PETITION OF PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO. FOR APPEAL.—Filed July 24, 1923

To the Honorable Robert L. Williams, District Judge:

The above named The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, defendant in the above entitled cause, feeling itself aggrieved by a certain decree made and entered in this cause on April

27, 1923, to-wit, that portion of the decree adjudging that the plaintiffs, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist above named, were the owners of an undivided four-fifteenths interest in the lands in controversy free and clear of the claims of the said The Prairie Oil & Gas Company under its lease, and adjudging that they had a right to recover of the said defendant The Prairie Oil & Gas Company four-fifteenths of the net proceeds of the oil and gas produced from the leased premises since September 29, 1919, does hereby appeal from said decree in so far as it adjudges the title of the said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist to be superior to the lease of the defendant, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and from the judgment in favor of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist for four-fifteenths of the net proceeds of the oil taken from said land, for the reasons specified in the assignment of errors filed herewith; and it prays that its appeal be allowed and that the transcript of the record and proceedings upon which said decree was based, duly authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, sitting at St. Louis, Missouri, or that said transcript of the record prepared by the plaintiffs in the above cause on their appeal from said decree adjudging the defendant The Prairie Oil & Gas Company to have a valid oil and gas lease as to the remaining eleven-fifteenths interests in the land in controversy, may be used by said The Prairie Oil & Gas Company on this its cross appeal, and said The Prairie Oil & Gas Company further prays that the proper order be made by this court concerning the security required of it to perfect its said cross appeal.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, Preston C. West, Roger S. Sherman, Grey Moore, A. A. Davidson, Attorneys and Solicitors for Defendant, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

[fol. 86] Upon reading of the above petition it is ordered that the said appeal be, and the same is hereby allowed, the Prairie Oil & Gas Company having herewith presented its bond on appeal in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000.00) dollars, duly executed by it and with J. J. McGraw as surety, and conditioned as required by law;

It is further ordered that the same be and hereby is approved and that said bond shall be taken and shall operate as a supersedeas bond in said cause.

Dated this 24th day of July, 1923.

R. L. Williams, Judge.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

Assignment of Errors of Prairie Oil & Gas Co.—Filed July 24, 1923

Comes now the defendant The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, and in connection with its petition for appeal in this cause assigns the following errors upon which it will rely on its prosecution of its appeal in said cause, and says that the decree entered in said cause on the 7th day of April, 1923, is erroneous in the following particulars and for the following reasons:

I

Because the court erred in finding, holding and concluding to be null and void the order and judgment of the County Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, of March 13, 1917, which authorized the duly appointed guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, to execute on behalf of her said wards an agreement with Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company extending the term and period of a certain oil and gas lease then owned and held by said Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company.

II

Because the court erred in finding, holding and concluding to be void and of no effect the agreement executed on March 13, 1917, by and between Leoria L. Weldy as the duly appointed, qualified and acting legal guardian of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, and Los Angeles Cherokee Oil Company, extending the term and period of an oil and gas lease on the land in controversy.

[fol. 87]

III

Because the court erred in finding and concluding and deciding that the interest and title of Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist in and to the lands in controversy, to-wit, the four fifteenths interest therein, was owned and held by them free and clear of the oil and gas lease of The Prairie Oil & Gas Company upon said lands.

IV

Because the court erred in concluding and adjudging that the oil and gas lease of The Prairie Oil & Gas Company was a cloud upon the title of the said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist in and to the lands in controversy and in decreeing the removal of the same as such cloud.

V

Because the court erred in finding, concluding and deciding that Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist were entitled to a four fifteenths interest in the net proceeds of the oil and gas produced from the lands in controversy by The Prairie Oil & Gas Company from and after September 29, 1919, and in rendering judgment against it and in favor of said Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist for the sum of $6,967.78, as the amount of said proceeds.

Wherefore, said defendant The Prairie Oil & Gas Company prays that the errors complained of in said assignment of errors be reviewed and that the said decree in so far as it is erroneous as above complained of, be reversed, and for all other and proper relief.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, Preston C. West, Roger S. Sherman, Grey Moore, A. A. Davidson, Attorneys and Solicitors for Defendant, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

[File endorsement omitted.]

Bond on Appeal for $10,000, approved and filed July 24, 1923, omitted in printing.

[fol. 88] In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

[fol. 89] Additional Stipulation as to Printing Record—Filed August 24, 1923

In addition to the stipulation heretofore filed herein, relative to the record in this cause for appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and for the purpose of further simplifying the record in the above cases, which it is anticipated will be consolidated for briefing and argument.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that the record, as agreed by prior stipulation entered into herein and approved by the Court, shall be printed in full in No. 2929, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, plaintiffs, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, defendant, and that in each of the other cases above referred to the record shall consist of the pleadings, the former stipulation and this stipulation, and that the record in No. 2929 shall be and become a part of the record in each of the other cases above styled, by reference thereto.

It is intended hereby to avoid the incorporation of all exhibits and all of the evidence in each record of each particular case.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1923.

Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Appellants. West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Appellee.

[File endorsement omitted.]

IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

[Title omitted]

PRAECIPE OF PRAIRIE OIL & GAS CO. FOR TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD—Filed July 24, 1923

To W. V. McClure, Clerk of United States Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma:

Please include in the transcript in the above entitled cause on cross appeal of the defendant, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, the following portions of the record:

[fol. 90] 1. Petition of Prairie Oil & Gas Company for appeal.
2. Order allowing appeal.
3. Assignment of errors by Prairie Oil & Gas Company.
4. Bond on appeal.
5. This praecipe.
6. Certificate of clerk.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, Preston C. West, Roger S. Sherman, Grey Moore, A. A. Davidson, Attorneys for Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

[File endorsement omitted.]

Clerk's certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

[fol. 88] In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

[fol. 89] Additional Stipulation as to Printing Record—Filed August 24, 1923

In addition to the stipulation heretofore filed herein, relative to the record in this cause for appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and for the purpose of further simplifying the record in the above cases, which it is anticipated will be consolidated for briefing and argument.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto that the record, as agreed by prior stipulation entered into herein and approved by the Court, shall be printed in full in No. 2929, Edward C. Twist, Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, minors, by Leoria L. Twist Weldy, Guardian, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, plaintiffs, vs. Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, defendant, and that in each of the other cases above referred to the record shall consist of the pleadings, the former stipulation and this stipulation, and that the record in No. 2929 shall be and become a part of the record in each of the other cases above styled, by reference thereto.

It is intended hereby to avoid the incorporation of all exhibits and all of the evidence in each record of each particular case.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1923.

Jas. S. Watson, Linebaugh & Pinson, Attorneys for Appellants. West, Sherman, Davidson & Moore, Attorneys for Appellee.

[File endorsement omitted.]

In United States District Court

[Title omitted]

Praecipe of Prairie Oil & Gas Co. for Transcript of Record—Filed July 24, 1923

To W. V. McClure, Clerk of United States Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma:

Please include in the transcript in the above entitled cause on cross appeal of the defendant, The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, the following portions of the record:

[fol. 90] 1. Petition of Prairie Oil & Gas Company for appeal.
2. Order allowing appeal.
3. Assignment of errors by Prairie Oil & Gas Company.
4 Bond on appeal.
5 This praecipe.
6 Certificate of clerk.

T. J. Flannelly, Paul B. Mason, Preston C. West, Roger S. Sherman, Grey Moore, A. A. Davidson, Attorneys for Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

[File endorsement omitted.]

Clerk's certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

91 And thereafter the following proceedings were had in cause No. 6499 in the Circuit Court of Appeals, viz:

(Appearance of Counsel for Appellants.)

In The United States Circuit Court of Appeals for The Eighth Circuit.

Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants,
No. 6499. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee.

Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellant,
No. . . . . vs.
Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, Appellees.

The undersigned, counsel for Edward C. Twist, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, Appellants, and Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist, Appellees, hereby enter appearance therein in this court, and pray that they be enrolled as of counsel for such appellants and appellees.

JAMES S. WATSON,
D. H. LINEBAUGH,
PAUL PINSON,
F. B. FITE, Jr.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Oct. 9, 1923.

---

92 (Appearance of Counsel for Appellee.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants,
No. 6499. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

The Clerk will enter my appearance as Counsel for the Appellee.

J. T. FLANNELLY,
PAUL B. MASON,
PRESTON C. WEST,
ROGER S. SHERMAN,
GREY MOORE,
A. A. DAVIDSON.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Nov. 3, 1923.

---

93 (Order of Submission.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

December Term, 1924.
Wednesday, December 3, 1924.

Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants,
No. 6499. vs.
Prairie Oil and Gas Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6500. vs.
Prairie Oil and Gas Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Jessie L. Twist Payne, Appellant,
No. 6501. vs.
Prairie Oil and Gas Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Albert T. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6502. vs.
Prairie Oil and Gas Company.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

and

The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, Appellant,
No. 6508. vs.
Kuroki Twist, et al.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

These causes, Nos. 6499, 6500, 6501, 6502 and 6508, having been called for hearing in their regular order are argued together, and argument was commenced by Mr. Paul Pinson for Edward C. Twist, et al., continued by Mr. A. A.
94 Davidson, for the Prairie Oil and Gas Company, and concluded by Mr. Paul Pinson for Edward C. Twist, et al.

Thereupon, these causes were submitted to the Court on the transcripts of the records from said District Court and the briefs of counsel filed herein.

---

95 (Opinion.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

May Term, A. D. 1925.

Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants,
No. 6499. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

May Term, A. D. 1925.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6500. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

May Term, A. D. 1925.

Jessie L. Twist Payne, Appellant,
No. 6501. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

May Term, A. D. 1925.

Albert T. Twist, Appellant,
96 No. 6502. vs.
Prairie Oil & Gas Company, a corporation, Appellee.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

May Term, A. D. 1925.

The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, Appellant,
No. 6508. vs.
Kuroki Twist, et al., Appellees.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

Mr. Paul Pinson (Mr. James S. Watson and Messrs. Linebaugh & Pinson were with him on the brief), for Edward C. Twist, et al.

Mr. A. A. Davidson (Mr. T. J. Flannelly, Mr. Paul B. Mason, Mr. Preston C. West, and Mr. Roger S. Sherman were with him on the brief), for The Prairie Oil & Gas Company.

Before Sanborn and Kenyon, Circuit Judges, and Booth District Judge.

Booth, District Judge, delivered the opinion of the Court.

William G. Twist, a citizen of the Cherokee Nation, had an allotment of land.

September 30, 1904, he made an oil and gas lease thereof to the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company to run 15 years.

97 Three of his children, Albert T., Edward C., and Jessie L., as Cherokee citizens, also had allotments of land.

Their father, William G. Twist, as their Guardian, made leases of their allotments to the same Company as follows:

Of Albert T.'s allotment on March 22, 1905, running to September 3, 1918. Albert became of age September 4, 1918.

Of Jessie L.'s allotment on March 22, 1905, running to December 7, 1918. Jessie became of age December 8, 1917.

Of Edward C.'s allotment on March 22, 1905, running to March 21, 1920. Edward was still a minor when this suit was commenced (September, 1922), but became of age prior to the entry of judgment (April 27, 1923).

On or about January 2, 1907, William G. Twist died intestate seized of his allotment, and leaving a widow, Leoria L. Twist, and five children—the three above mentioned and Kuroki and Willie V. The two latter had no allotments, having been born too late.

In June, 1907, the widow was appointed to succeed her husband as guardian of the three children having allotments. She also became administratrix of her husband's estate.

In June, 1910, Final Decree was entered in the County Court of Tulsa County in the matter of the Estate of William G. Twist.

Distribution was made: one third of the rents and profits of the lease belonging to the estate to the widow in lieu of dower; the remaining two-thirds in equal division to the five children; and the remainder in fee in equal division to the five children.

June 5, 1916, petition by Leoria L. Twist was filed in said County Court, reciting that she was the administratrix of the estate of William G. Twist and guardian of the children, Albert, Edward, and Jessie, and praying that an extension of said four leases be authorized.

Proceedings were thereafter had in said Coupnty Court, which resulted in what purported to be an extension agreement confirmed by the Court, covering all of the four leases for a consideration named, for a term so long as oil or gas should be found on the lands.

98 In these proceedings the two children, Kuroki and Willie V., were neither represented nor mentioned.

On March 5, 1917, these four leases as thus extended, were sold and assigned by the Los Angeles-Cherokee Oil Company to the Prairie Oil & Gas Company, defendant in the present suit.

On March 13, 1917, Leoria L. Twist presented to the County Court of Tulsa County another petition, reciting that she was guardian of Kuroki and Willie, that by inadvertence they had not been included in the former extension proceedings. That they were, however, participating in the consideration received on account of the lease belonging to the estate, the same as the other children. Authority was asked to make a similar extension agreement on behalf of Kuroki and Willie, and without further consideration. Proceedings were had which resulted in an order of the Court authorizing an extension agreement on behalf of Kuroki and Willie similar to the one made in June, 1916, and without further consideration.

The defendant Company entered into possession of the lands covered by the four leases, and has operated continuously since September 29, 1917.

Royalties have been paid in accordance with the extension agreement and have been received by Leoria L. Twist on her own behalf and on behalf of the minors, and by Albert and Jessie personally since they became of age.

In August, 1922, four suits were commenced in the State District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, against the defendant Prarie Oil & Gas Company: one by the five children (three of them through their guardian) heirs of William G. Twist, deceased, in which relief was asked against the extension agreement covering the lease belonging to the estate of William G. Twist. The widow, Leoria L. Twist, did not join in

the suit, except in her capacity as guardian. The other three suits are by Albert, Jessie, and Edward (by guardian), seeking relief against the extension agreement covering the leases on their individual allotments respectively.

These four suits were removed from the State Court to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

99 By consent of plaintiffs, they were tried together, with the result that decrees were entered as follows:

In the suit by the five heirs the Court found the issues for defendant as to all the plaintiffs except as to Kuroki and Willie V.; adjudged defendant to be owner of the lease as extended, covering an undivided 11 15th interest; quieted the title to the same in defendant; adjudged Kuroki and Willie the owners of 4 15ths interest in the lands covered by the lease, quieted title in them to the same; adjudged that Kuroki and Willie recover from defendant 4 15ths net proceeds from oil and gas produced from said lands since September 29, 1919, to April 1, 1923, viz.: $6,667.78, and reserved for further consideration the amount produced since April 1, 1923.

In each of the three individual suits of Albert, Jessie, and Edward, the Court found all the issues in favor of the defendant; dismissed plaintiff's petition for want of equity at his costs; adjudged defendant to be the owner of the lease as extended, covering the allotment in question, and quieted title to the same in defendant.

From each of the four decrees thus entered, appeal was taken to this court.

It is necessary to examine the character of the suits in order to determine the proper method of disposing of the appeals.

The complaints in the several suits are framed along similar lines, and contain substantially similar allegations.

Each complaint sets up two causes of action.

Taking up the complaint in the suit by the five heirs, the first cause of action alleges: present ownership of the land by plaintiffs; making of the original lease; purported extension of the lease; invalidity of the purported extension, first, because of fraud, and second, because of non-compliance with statutory requirements, and as to Kuroki and Willie that there was no consideration for the extension and that they had no opportunity to protect their rights; entry and con-

tinued possession by defendant constituting trespass; prayer for damages. The second cause of action reasserts the allegations in the first cause of action; alleges that the purported extension of the lease constitutes a cloud on plaintiffs' title; prayer is for, declaration as to ownership, cancellation
100 of extension, quieting of plaintiffs' title, injunction against further trespass or claim by defendant.

It is apparent that the first was a legal cause of action—ejectment; and the second an equitable cause of action—to remove cloud on title.

The uniting of the two in the same complaint was allowable under the Oklahoma Statute, but is not permissible in the Federal Court. Hurt vs. Hollingsworth, 100 U. S. 100; Bucyrus vs. McArthur, 219 Fed. 266, 721; Cehrokee Nation vs. Kansas Railway Co., 135 U. S. 641, 651; Fletcher vs. Burt, 126, Fed. 619; United States vs. Swan, 65 Fed. 647, 652.

When the suits were removed to the Federal Court the pleadings should have been redrawn to conform to the Federal practice. Foster Fed. Practice, p. 3061; Hurt vs. Hollingsworth, supra; Hatcher vs. Hendrie Co., 133 Fed. 267, 271; Fletcher vs. Burt, supra; Perkins vs. Hendryx, 23 Fed. 418.

In some cases both causes of action, legal and equitable, may be maintained after removal, but on different sides of the Court.

In other cases an election may be necessary between the two causes of action.

In the case at bar, only the legal cause of action could be maintained in the Federal Court, so that an election was not feasible.

The equitable cause of action, though perhaps maintainable in the State Court (Compiled Oklahoma Statutes, 1921, Chap. 3, Article XIV), could not be entertained in the Federal Court, since there was an adequate remedy at law, the plaintiffs being out of possession and defendant being in possession of the land in question. Whitehead vs. Shattuck, 138 U. S. 146; McGuire vs. Pensacola Co., 105 Fed. 677; Gordan vs. Jackson, 72 Fed. 86; Wehrman vs. Conklin, 155 U. S. 314, 325; Dowell vs. Mitchell, 105 U. S. 430; Frost vs. Spitley, 121 U. S. 552, 556; Lawson vs. United States Mining Co., 207 U. S. 1, 9; Bigelow vs. Chatterton, 51 Fed. 614; Scott vs. Bank, 285 Fed. 832 (this court).

The exact question was decided in the case last cited, where this court, speaking by Judge Sanborn, said:

101 "But, where the defendant is in possession and the plaintiff is out of possession, the latter can test the title and right to the possession and to the profits or damages by an action at law, the defendants, under the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which provides that 'in suits at common law, where the value of the controversy shall exceed $20, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved,' and under section 1244, Comp. Stat. 1918, section 267, Judicial Code, Rev. Stat. Sec. 723, which provided that 'suits in equity shall not be sustained in either of the courts of the United States in any case where a plain, adequate and complete remedy may be had at law,' is entitled to a trial of its claimed title and right to the possession by a jury in an action at law. As this right to the trial of its title and right of possession rest upon the Constitution and statutes of the United States, the legislation of the state of Oklahoma cannot deprive the defendant of its right in the federal courts, and the rule and practice that a plaintiff out of possession cannot maintain in the federal courts a suit in equity against a defendant in possession of real estate to quiet the title or right of possession thereto has become established and settled beyond controversy."

No attempt was made in the instant cases to redraft the pleadings. The cases were docketed on the equity side of the Court; were tried to the Court without a jury; "decrees" were entered, and appeals have brought the cases to this court.

Though the practice which was followed in the District Court was irregular, the judgments were not void. Hatcher vs. Hendrie Co., 133 Fed. 267; Ewert vs. Robinson, 289 Fed. 749, 755. The allegations of the complaint were sufficient to constitute a cause of action at law, to wit: ejectment. It was competent for the parties to try the action to the Court without a formal waiver in writing of a jury. The relief afforded was such as could be given in an action at law, except the dismissal of the plaintiff's petition in three of the cases for want of equity. This, however, may be disregarded as surplusage—in view of the other relief granted.

The fact that the judgments are called "decrees" is not of vital importance.

102 Finally, the fact that the defeated parties have resorted to appeals instead of writs of error, is of no significance in view of the Act of September 6, 1916, Chap. 448, Sec. 4 (39 Stat. 727), which reads:

"That no court having power to review a judgment or decree rendered or passed by another shall dismiss a writ of error solely because an appeal should have been taken, or dismiss an appeal solely because a writ of error should have been sued out, but when such mistake or error occurs it shall disregard the same and take the action which would be appropriate if the proper appellate procedure had been followed."

We conclude therefore that this court must take the action which would be appropriate if the proper appellate procedure had been followed; that is, if writs of error had been sued out from judgments entered in law actions tried to the Court without a jury but nor formal waiver in writing having been filed.

Considering these cases in that manner, viz.: as civil cases at law tried to the Court without a waiver of jury as provided by law, it is clear that this court has no jurisdiction to review any question except those which arise on the process, pleadings, or judgments.

The assignments of error disclose that claim is made that the Court erred (1) in admitting evidence; (2) in excluding evidence; (3) in refusing to enter judgments for plaintiffs; (4) in making findings not supported by the evidence; (5) in refusing to make other findings.

None of these assignments can be considered by this court in view of the form of trial had in the court below. Flanders vs. Tweed, 9 Wall. 425, 429; Kerney vs. Case, 12 Wall. 275; County of Madison vs. Warren, 106 U. S. 622; Bond vs. Dustin, 112 U. S. 604, 606, 607; Dundee Co. vs. Hughes, 124 U. S. 157, 160 Andes vs. Slauson, 130 U. S. 435, 438; Spalding vs. Manasse, 131 U. S. 65, 66; Campbell vs. United States, 224 U. S. 99, 105; Rush vs. Newman, 58 Fed. 158, 160; Ladd & Tilton Bank vs. Lewis A. Hicks Co., 218 Fed. 310; Wm. Edwards C. vs. LaDow, 230 Fed. 378, 383; Bowers vs. Henry Steers, Inc., 241 Fed. 377, 378; Ford vs. United States, 260 Fed. 657 (this court); Ford vs. Grimmett, 278 Fed. 140, 142; St.
103 Louis, etc., Ry. Co. vs. Commissioners, 265 Fed. 524, 528 (this court); City of Cleveland vs. Walsh Co., 279 Fed. 57, 61, 63; United States vs. National City Bank, 281

Fed. 754, 758; National City Bank vs. Kimball Bank, 2 F. (2d) 461, and cases cited.

It is true that the effect of the trial procedure was not raised in the trial court, nor has it been argued here, but it is the duty of this court to take note of it. Bond vs. Dustin, supra; Ladd & Tilton Bank vs. Lewis A. Hicks Co., supra; St. Louis, etc. Ry. Co. vs. Commissioners, supra.

The claim of plaintiffs that the extension agreement was procured by fraud, and the claim that the statutory procedure was not followed in obtaining the extension, would both require for their determination an examination and consideration of the evidence; but for the reasons above stated, such examination and consideration cannot be had in this court.

What has been said relative to the scope of the review in this court on the appeals by the plaintiffs, applies equally to the review sought by defendant in its cross appeal as against Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist.

The pleadings being sufficient to support the judgments in the several cases, the judgments are affirmed.

Filed May 9, 1925.

---

104 (Decree.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

May Term, 1925.
Saturday, May 9, 1925.

Edward C. Twist, Albert T. Twist and Jessie L. Payne, nee Twist, Appellants,

6499. vs.

Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a corporation.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

and

The Prairie Oil and Gas Company, Appellant,

No. 6508. vs.

Kuroki Twist and Willie V. Twist.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma.

These causes came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, and were argued by counsel.

On Consideration Whereof, it is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the decree of the said District Court, in these causes be, and the same is hereby, affirmed without costs to either party in this Court.

May 9, 1925.

120 (Order denying Petition for Rehearing.)

September Term, 1925,
Wednesday, December 2, 1925.

These causes came on this day to be heard upon the petition for a rehearing, filed by Counsel for Edward C. Twist and others.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered by this Court, that said petition for a rehearing of this cause, be, and the same is hereby, denied.

December 2, 1925.

(Motion for Stay of Mandates.)

In the United States Circuit Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit.

Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants,
No. 6499. vs.
The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, Appellee.

Edward C. Twist, Appellant,
No. 6500 vs.
The Prairie Oil & Gas Company, Appellee.

Come now the appellants in the above entitled and numbered causes, by their counsel of record, and certify that it is their desire and intention promptly to file in the Supreme Court of the United States petition for writ of cer-
121 tiorari, together with copies of the full record in these causes, as provided by the Statutes covering such cases. Appellants therefore respectfully pray that the issuance of mandate in these causes be stayed until further order of this Court, pending the filing of the petition for writ of certiorari.

In this connection counsel for appellants certify that said petition will be filed in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

JAMES S. WATSON,
D. H. LINEBAUGH,
PAUL PINSON,
Attorneys for Appellants.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Dec. 15, 1925.

(Order staying issuance of Mandates.)

December Term, 1925,
Tuesday, December 15, 1925.

On Consideration of the motion of appellants for a stay of the issuance of the mandates of this Court pending the filing of a petition for writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court of the United States, It is ordered by this Court that the issuance of the mandates in these causes, be, and the same is hereby, stayed for a period of forty days from and after this date, unless the petition for a writ of certiorari shall have been sooner dispossed of by said Supreme Court.

December 15, 1925.

---

122 (Motion for Further Stay of Mandates.)

Come now the appellants in the above entitled and numbered causes, by their counsel of record, and certify that it is their desire and intention promptly to file in the Supreme Court of the United States petition for writ of certiorari, together with copies of the full record in these causes, which records have been ordered and are now being prepared by the Clerk of this Court for filing in the Supreme Court of the United States.

That heretofore, and on December 16, 1925, this Court entered its order staying the mandate for forty days, and your petitioners respectfully request an order further staying the mandate for on additional twenty days, to afford time within which to file in the Supreme Court of the United States the record as now being prepared by the Clerk of this Court.

Counsel for appellants certify that said petition for writ of certiorari will be filed in the Supreme Court of the United States in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

Wherefore, appellants pray an order of this Court staying the mandate in these causes for an additional twenty days, or until February 16, 1926.

D. H. LINEBAUGH,
PAUL PINSON,
JAS. S. WATSON,
Attorneys for Appellants.

(Endorsed): Filed in U. S. Circuit Court of Appeals, Jan. 26, 1926.

---

123 (Order further Staying Issuance of Mandates.)

December Term, 1925,
Tuesday, January 26, 1926.

On Consideration of the motion of appellants for a further stay of the mandates of this Court for a period of twenty days, or until February 16, 1926, pending the filing of a petition for writ of certiorari in the Supreme Court of the United States, It is ordered by this Court that the issuance of the mandates in these causes, be, and the same is hereby, stayed until February 16, 1926, unless the petition for a writ of certiorari shall have been sooner disposed of by said Supreme Court.

January 26, 1926.

---

124 (Clerk's Certificate.)

United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

I, E. E. Koch, Clerk of the United States Circuit of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, do hereby certify that the foregoing contains the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Oklahoma as prepared, printed and certified by the Clerk of said District Court to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals in pursuance of the Act of Congress, approved February 13, 1911, in certain causes in said Circuit Court of Appeals wherein Edward C. Twist, et al., were Appellants, and the Prairie Oil and Gas Company, a Corporation, was Appellee, No. 6499, and wherein The Prairie Oil and Gas Company was Appellant and Kuroki Twist, et al., were Appellees, No. 6508, and full, true and complete copies of all the pleadings, record entries and proceedings, including the opinion had and filed in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, except the full captions, titles and endorsements omitted in pursuance of the rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, in cause No. 6499, Edward C. Twist, et al., Appellants, vs. Prairie Oil and Gas Company, as full, true and complete as the originals of the same remain on file and of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, at office in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, this first day of February, A. D. 1926.

E. E. KOCH,
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

(Seal)

[fols. 105-119] Petition for rehearing, covering 15 pages, filed July 6, 1925, omitted from this print. It was denied and nothing more by order, December 2, 1925.

[fol. 125] In Supreme Court of the United States

Order Allowing Certiorari—Filed March 22, 1926

The petition herein for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit is granted. And it is further ordered that the duly certified copy of the transcript of the proceedings below which accompanied the petition shall be treated as though filed in response to such writ.